

California's Solar Cities 2012

Leaders in the Race Toward a Clean Energy Future



California's Solar Cities 2012

Leaders in the Race Toward a Clean Energy Future



Written by: Benjamin Davis and Travis Madsen, Frontier Group Michelle Kinman, Environment California Research & Policy Center

January 2012

Acknowledgments

Environment California Research & Policy Center would like to thank Andrew McAllister at the California Center for Sustainable Energy, Ehren Seybert and Melicia Charles at the California Public Utilities Commission, Steve Kehrmeyer at the Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission for their insightful comments on drafts of this report. Eduardo Gonzales assisted with research. Additional thanks to Bernadette Del Chiaro at Environment California Research & Policy Center and Tony Dutzik and Jordan Schneider at Frontier Group for editorial assistance.

The generous support of the Arntz Family Foundation, the Energy Foundation, the Richard and Rhoda Goldman Fund, the Michael Lee Environmental Foundation, the Sidney Stern Memorial Trust, and the Tilia Foundation made this report possible.

The opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of our funders or those who provided review. Any factual errors are strictly the responsibility of the authors.

Copyright 2012 Environment California Research & Policy Center

Environment California Research & Policy Center is a 501(c)(3) organization. We are dedicated to protecting California's air, water and open spaces. We investigate problems, craft solutions, educate the public and decision makers, and help Californians make their voices heard in local, state and national debates over the quality of our environment and our lives. For more information about Environment California Research & Policy Center, please visit our Web site at www.environmentcalifornia.org/center.

Frontier Group conducts independent research and policy analysis to support a cleaner, healthier and more democratic society. Our mission is to inject accurate information and compelling ideas into public policy debates at the local, state and federal levels. For more information about Frontier Group, please visit our Web site at www.frontiergroup.org.

Cover Photo: Solar panel installations at Point Loma Nazarene University in San Diego courtesy of SPG Solar

Layout: To the Point Publications, www.tothepointpublications.com

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary |
|--|
| Introduction |
| California's Solar Market Is Thriving11 |
| Solar Cities Are the Heart of California's Thriving Solar Market |
| Leading Solar Cities Have Globally Significant Amounts of Solar Capacity 12 |
| California's Solar Market Is Diverse |
| California's Solar Market Is Growing Rapidly16 |
| Solar Is a Critical Part of California's Clean Energy Future |
| Solar Power Reduces Air Pollution and Fights Global Warming |
| Solar Power Spurs Economic Growth and Creates Jobs |
| California Has Only Just Begun to Scratch the Surface of Its Solar Energy Potential 18 |
| Policy Recommendations |
| Methodology |
| Appendices |
| Appendix A: Cities Ranked by Number of Solar Installations |
| Appendix B: Cities Ranked by Solar Capacity 28 |
| Appendix C: Small Towns Ranked by Number of Solar Installations per Resident 29 |
| Appendix D: Small Towns Ranked by Solar Capacity per Resident |
| Appendix E: Large Towns Ranked by Number of Solar Installations per Resident 31 |
| Appendix F: Large Towns Ranked by Solar Capacity per Resident |
| Appendix G: Cities Ranked by Number of Solar Installations per Resident 33 |
| Appendix H: Cities Ranked by Solar Capacity per Resident |
| |
| Appendix I: Alphabetical Listing of All Cities 35 |

Executive Summary

alifornia's solar market is thriving. Ten years ago, solar panels atop roofs were a rarity. Today, solar is taking hold in cities across the state, from coastal metropolises to agricultural and industrial hubs in the Central Valley. In the past two years alone, the solar industry has installed more than 5,000 kilowatts of solar power in each of 10 different California cities.*

Solar energy makes sense for California. Each new solar panel helps to clean our air, fight global warming, improve the reliability of our electricity grid, boost the economy, and create muchneeded jobs.

California has just begun to tap into the vast potential of solar energy. Governments, utilities and the public should continue to work together toward a clean energy future.

Solar cities are the heart of California's thriving solar market.

San Diego leads all California cities in terms of the number of solar installations on residential, commercial and government buildings, with more than 4,500 projects installed. San Diego also leads the state in terms of overall solar generation capacity, with nearly 37 megawatts (MW). (See Table ES-1.) If San Diego were a nation unto itself, it would rank among the top 25 nations in the world in terms of solar capacity, with more solar

^{*}All solar capacity figures in this report are presented in terms of alternating current watts, measured under California Energy Commission PTC test conditions, unless otherwise noted.

power than the entire nation of Mexico.

- Los Angeles ranks second, with more than 4,000 solar installations adding up to more than 36 MW of power generation capacity.
- San Jose ranks third, with more than 2,700 solar installations and 31 MW of solar electric generation capacity.
- In addition, San Francisco, Fresno, Bakersfield, Santa Rosa, Roseville, Clovis, Sacramento and Oakland all have more than 1,000 solar installations. In terms of generation capacity, Fresno, San Francisco, Bakersfield, Sacramento, and Santa Rosa all have more than 10 MW installed.



In the past two years alone, the solar industry has installed more than 5 MW of solar panels in each of 10 California cities. The state now has more than 1,000 MW of solar power capacity, more than all but 5 countries in the world.

| City | Solar Capacity (MW _{AC}) | Rank by Capacity | Number of Installations | Rank by Installations |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| San Diego | 37 | 1 | 4,507 | 1 |
| Los Angeles | 36 | 2 | 4,018 | 2 |
| San Jose | 31 | 3 | 2,733 | 3 |
| Fresno | 22 | 4 | 2,146 | 5 |
| San Francisco | 17 | 5 | 2,405 | 4 |
| Bakersfield | 16 | 6 | 1,643 | 6 |
| Sacramento | 16 | 7 | 1,119 | 10 |
| Santa Rosa | 14 | 8 | 1,467 | 7 |
| Oakland | 10 | 9 | 1,010 | 11 |
| Chico | 9 | 10 | 615 | 19 |
| Clovis | 8 | 11 | 1,133 | 9 |
| Roseville | 3 | 84 | 1,170 | 8 |

Table ES-1: California's Top 10 Solar Cities by Generation Capacity and by Number of Installations

Cities with a larger number of installations but less capacity have more residential installations, which tend to be smaller in size than those found on top of commercial or government buildings – accounting for the differences between the top 10 lists by number of installations and overall capacity.



Figure ES-1: California's Solar Cities

California's solar market is geographically diverse. Solar installations are taking hold from coastal urban centers to mountain communities to towns in the Central Valley. (See Tables ES-2 and ES-3.)

- Among cities with at least 50,000 residents, Santa Cruz, Clovis, Rocklin, Davis, Watsonville and Roseville have the most solar installations per resident. Chico, Woodland, Rancho Cordova, Livermore, and Petaluma have the most solar generation capacity per resident.
- Among large towns, with between 10,000 and 50,000 residents, Sonoma (Sonoma County), Grass Valley (Nevada County) and Auburn (Placer County) have the most solar installations per resident. Sonoma, Auburn and Oroville (Butte County) lead in terms of solar capacity per resident.
- Solar has reached its greatest penetration per capita in the northern Bay Area, the Sierra foothills, and the Central Valley. The small towns of Sebastopol (Sonoma County), Newcastle (Placer County),

Calculating Cities' Solar Installations and Generation Capacity

This report documents the number of grid-connected solar electric systems installed in California and their total electric generation capacity on a city-by-city basis. It focuses on solar photovoltaic installations that by and large are owned by ratepayers or third-party financing companies, as opposed to an electric utility, and are located on or near buildings throughout the state.

The report compiles solar energy installation data from the California Energy Commission, California Public Utilities Commission, the California Center for Sustainable Energy, and the state's private and public utilities. The data represent the most recent available information from each source at the time of data collection, covering a time period through no later than the close of August 2011. For more details, see the Methodology section on page 23.

| Rank | Small Towns wi to 10,000 Resid | ith 1,000 lents | Large Towns wi to 50,000 Reside | th 10,000 ents | Mid-Sized Cities than 50,000 Res | with More idents |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Sebastopol | 10.3 | Sonoma | 4.5 | Santa Cruz | 1.4 |
| 2 | Newcastle | 10.2 | Grass Valley | 3.4 | Clovis | 1.2 |
| 3 | Nevada City | 9.9 | Auburn | 3.3 | Rocklin | 1.1 |
| 4 | Penn Valley | 8.0 | Mill Valley | 2.4 | Davis | 1.1 |
| 5 | Coarsegold | 6.3 | Healdsburg | 2.4 | Watsonville | 1.1 |
| 6 | Romoland | 5.6 | Ladera Ranch | 2.2 | Roseville | 1.0 |
| 7 | Portola Valley | 5.0 | Placerville | 2.2 | Santa Rosa | 0.9 |
| 8 | Plymouth | 4.6 | Ramona | 1.9 | San Ramon | 0.8 |
| 9 | Kenwood | 4.6 | Los Gatos | 1.8 | Santa Barbara | 0.8 |
| 10 | Occidental | 4.1 | Rancho Mirage | 1.7 | Berkeley | 0.8 |

Table ES-2: California's Leading Solar Cities in Terms of Installations per 100 Residents

Table ES-3: California's Leading Solar Cities in Terms of Capacity (Watts) per Resident

| Rank | Small Towns wit to 10,000 Reside | h 1,000 ents | Large Towns wit to 50,000 Reside | h 10,000 ents | Mid-Sized Cities with than 50,000 Reside | ith More ents |
|------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|
| 1 | Herald | 3,845 | Sonoma | 507 | Chico | 110 |
| 2 | Edwards AFB | 2,078 | Auburn | 420 | Woodland | 100 |
| 3 | Lebec | 1,061 | Oroville | 313 | Rancho Cordova | 99 |
| 4 | Maxwell | 927 | Healdsburg | 266 | Livermore | 98 |
| 5 | Middletown | 883 | Newman | 205 | Petaluma | 97 |
| 6 | San Miguel | 875 | Rancho Mirage | 171 | Hanford | 95 |
| 7 | Newcastle | 762 | Paso Robles | 170 | Napa | 89 |
| 8 | Kenwood | 740 | Grass Valley | 151 | Clovis | 87 |
| 9 | Sebastopol | 670 | Oakdale | 148 | Pleasanton | 87 |
| 10 | St. Helena | 663 | Placerville | 138 | Santa Rosa | 84 |

and Nevada City (Nevada County) lead the state in terms of number of solar installations per resident. Herald (Sacramento County), Edwards Air Force Base (Kern County), and Lebec (Kern County) lead the state in terms of solar capacity per capita. Despite the development of thriving solar markets in cities across the state, California has only just begun to capture its tremendous potential for solar power.

• The National Renewable Energy Laboratory estimates that the state could host more than 80,000 MW of rooftop solar in total – enough to generate more than a third as much electricity as California uses in a year.

• Through 2011, California has installed more than 1,000 MW of solar capacity on residential and commercial rooftops. Despite this substantial progress, vast potential remains to be developed.

California should continue to build the strength of its solar energy market. Key steps include:

- Ensure that the Million Solar Roofs Initiative reaches its goal by the end of 2016.
- Increase the use of solar energy systems in new construction by requiring all new homes to include solar power or other on-site renewable electricity generation by no later than 2020, and all new non-residential buildings by no later than 2030,

through a net-zero energy building code requirement.

- Adopt a strong feed-in-tariff policy to encourage solar power installation on warehouses, parking lots and other sites with low on-site energy demands but high levels of sunshine.
- Maintain or enhance the incentive value of net metering and lift the cap on its use to allow all California ratepayers to benefit from going solar.
- Remove barriers to installing solar energy systems at the local and state levels by streamlining interconnection and permitting.
- Finally, California should continue to set ambitious clean energy goals – such as Governor Jerry Brown's goal of installing 12,000 MW of distributed electricity generation in California by 2020 – and adopt innovative policies to achieve them.

Introduction

Angeles International Airport knows, California cities have a lot of empty rooftop space. During the day, the rays of the sun bathe those rooftops in light – and practically endless amounts of energy.

Increasingly, Californians are replacing empty rooftop spaces with solar panels. In the process, they are transforming the state's electricity system and helping to build a brighter future for their communities.

Solar power makes sense for California. Every watt of electricity from a solar panel reduces the need for dirty, unsafe energy sources like natural gas, coal, or nuclear power. Also, every solar panel installed on a rooftop or near a building translates into jobs for Californians and fuel for the state economy.

At the state level, California's leaders have launched incentive programs designed to turn solar power into a commonplace and affordable energy resource for average citizens. In 2006, the California Legislature created the Million Solar Roofs Initiative, now part of the "Go Solar California" campaign, to direct the investment of \$3.3 billion in small-scale solar electric power systems. The initiative is on track to reach its 2016 goal of increasing the state's solar generation capacity by 3,000 megawatts (MW), which will help cut the cost of solar power in half and create a mainstream market for solar power.¹

At the local government level, leaders have offered additional cash incentives, created programs to walk citizens through the steps needed to go solar, reduced permitting obstacles facing so-



In 2011, Point Loma Nazarene University in San Diego had solar photovoltaic panels installed on top of this covered parking structure. San Diego is the leading solar city in California.

lar installers, and installed solar power systems on their own properties.

These efforts have opened the door for every citizen to play an important role in building a new, clean energy future for California. And the results are increasingly visible in communities across the state.

In this report, Environment California Research & Policy Center takes a snapshot of the development of California's solar market at the close of the year 2011. The report catalogs the amount of solar power installed by city, identifying the hubs of the state's thriving solar economy.

In the years to come, these solar cities will continue to lay the groundwork for the next great energy transition – one that will transform our economy, generate jobs, protect our health, and preserve our environment for generations to come.

California's Solar Market Is Thriving

Solar power is taking hold in cities across California, from coastal metropolises to agricultural and industrial hubs in the Central Valley. Driven substantially by progress in California, solar is the fastest growing industry in America.²

Over the last decade, the market for solar energy systems on or near homes and buildings in California grew nearly 100-fold. In 2000, California had fewer than 1,000 rooftop solar systems, with less than 10 megawatts (MW) of total electric generation capacity.³ In 2011, California passed the milestone of installing 1,000 MW of distributed, or local, solar capacity, with more than 100,000 separate installations.⁴ The state's solar market continues to grow exponentially, at a pace of up to 40 percent per year.⁵

Solar Cities Are the Heart of California's Thriving Solar Market

Residents, businesses and local governments in California's leading solar cities are the center of activity for the state's rapidly expanding solar power industry. California's top 10 solar cities each have more than 1,000 rooftop solar systems with more than 5,000 kW of installed solar capacity. Not coincidentally, these cities are also among the state's most populous.

San Diego leads the state in terms of the number of solar installations, with more than 4,500 projects completed. San Diego is home to the only general-market California solar rebate program administered by a non-profit organization, the California Center for



Figure 1: California's Top Solar Cities by Number of Installations

Sustainable Energy, in concert with the local utility, San Diego Gas & Electric. This program has been particularly effective in driving solar power into the residential market. Confirmed projects in its service territory add up to almost two-thirds of its residential goal under the Million Solar Roofs Initiative – ahead of programs in the service territories of both Southern California Edison and Pacific Gas & Electric.⁶

In terms of the number of solar energy systems installed to date, San Diego is followed by Los Angeles, San Jose, San Francisco and Fresno, which have more than 2,000 solar installations each. Bakersfield, Santa Rosa, Roseville, Clovis, and Sacramento round out the top 10, each with more than 1,000 solar installations. (See Figure 1.)

The presence of Fresno, Bakersfield, Roseville, Clovis and Sacramento among the top 10 shows that the market for solar power extends to important Central Valley communities, in addition to the state's coastal population centers.

Leading Solar Cities Have Globally Significant Amounts of Solar Capacity

Once again, San Diego leads all California cities in terms of the total capacity of its solar power systems to generate electricity – a measure of the size of solar installations. Altogether, San Diego's rooftop solar systems have the capacity to generate nearly 37 megawatts (MW) of electricity at peak output. If San Diego were a nation unto itself, it would rank among the top 25 nations in the world in terms of solar capacity, with more solar power than all of Mexico.⁷ San Diego's solar panels produce more than \$9 million worth of electricity annually.⁸

Los Angeles comes in right behind San Diego in second place, with more than 36 MW of solar generation capacity. San Jose ranks third, with solar installations totaling 31 MW. In addition, Fresno, San Francisco, Bakersfield, Sacramento, and Santa Rosa all have more than 10 MW installed.⁹ (See Figure 2.)

Cities with a larger number of installations but less capacity likely have more residential installations, which tend to be smaller in size than those found on top of commercial or government buildings – accounting for the small differences between the top 10 lists by number of installations and overall capacity.

Santa Rosa and Clovis Stand Out

Out of California's top 10 solar cities with the most solar installations, Santa Rosa and Clovis stand out in terms of the development of their local solar markets. Each of these cities has about 10 solar installations, or about 80 kW of solar capacity, for every 1,000 residents. In comparison, San Diego and Los Angeles – although they lead the pack overall – have much less developed solar markets. San Diego has about three solar installations for every thousand people, while Los Angeles only has one solar installation for every thousand residents.

California's Solar Market Is Diverse

California's solar power market is geographically diverse. Throughout the state – in both rural and urban areas – homes, businesses, farms, schools, and other buildings are hosting solar power systems. Solar installations are happening from coastal urban centers to mountain communities to towns in the Central Valley.

The Most Developed Solar Markets

Mid-Size Cities

Among cities with at least 50,000 residents, Santa Cruz, Clovis, Rocklin, Davis, Watsonville, and Roseville all have more than 10 installations for every 1,000 residents. In terms of total solar capacity, Chico and Woodland lead with at least 100 W per resident. (See Tables 3 and 4.)

If the city of Los Angeles achieved the same levels of solar penetration as Santa Cruz and Chico, it would have more than 50,000 solar rooftops and 400 MW of solar power. That would rank Los Angeles as one of the world's leading solar markets, similar in size to the market in the nation of Australia.¹⁰



Figure 2: California's Top Solar Cities by Generation Capacity





Large Towns

Among large towns – those with between 10,000 and 50,000 residents:

- Sonoma, the seat of Sonoma County, located in California's wine country just west of Napa, has 4.5 installations and 51 kW of solar capacity for every 100 residents.
- Auburn, located at the foot of the Sierra Nevada on the I-80 corridor west of Sacramento, has 3.3 installa-

Table 3: Top Mid-Size Cities – Number of Solar Installations per Capita

| Rank | City | Number of Installations per 100 Residents |
|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Santa Cruz | 1.4 |
| 2 | Clovis | 1.2 |
| 3 | Rocklin | 1.1 |
| 4 | Davis | 1.1 |
| 5 | Watsonville | 1.1 |
| 6 | Roseville | 1.0 |
| 7 | Santa Rosa | 0.9 |
| 8 | San Ramon | 0.8 |
| 9 | Santa Barbara | 0.8 |
| 10 | Berkeley | 0.8 |

Table 5: Top Large Towns – Number ofSolar Installations per Capita

| Rank | City | Number of Installations per 100 Residents |
|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Sonoma | 4.5 |
| 2 | Grass Valley | 3.4 |
| 3 | Auburn | 3.3 |
| 4 | Mill Valley | 2.4 |
| 5 | Healdsburg | 2.4 |
| 6 | Ladera Ranch | 2.2 |
| 7 | Placerville | 2.2 |
| 8 | Ramona | 1.9 |
| 9 | Los Gatos | 1.8 |
| 10 | Rancho Mirage | 1.7 |

tions and 42 kW of solar capacity for every 100 residents.

- Grass Valley, in Nevada County, north of the I-80 corridor at the foothills of the Sierra Nevada, has 3.4 solar installations for every 100 residents.
- Oroville, located about 65 miles north of Sacramento in Butte County, has 31 kW of solar for every 100 residents. (See Tables 5 and 6.)

Table 4: Top Mid-Size Cities – Solar Capacity per Capita

| Rank | City | Solar Capacity per Capita (Watts) |
|------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Chico | 110 |
| 2 | Woodland | 100 |
| 3 | Rancho Cordova | 99 |
| 4 | Livermore | 98 |
| 5 | Petaluma | 97 |
| 6 | Hanford | 95 |
| 7 | Napa | 89 |
| 8 | Clovis | 87 |
| 9 | Pleasanton | 87 |
| 10 | Santa Rosa | 84 |

Table 6: Top Large Towns – Solar Capacity per Capita

| Rank | City | Solar Capacity per Capita (Watts) |
|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Sonoma | 507 |
| 2 | Auburn | 420 |
| 3 | Oroville | 313 |
| 4 | Healdsburg | 266 |
| 5 | Newman | 205 |
| 6 | Rancho Mirage | 171 |
| 7 | Paso Robles | 170 |
| 8 | Grass Valley | 151 |
| 9 | Oakdale | 148 |
| 10 | Placerville | 138 |

| Rank | City | Number of Installations per 100 Residents |
|------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Sebastopol | 10.3 |
| 2 | Newcastle | 10.2 |
| 3 | Nevada City | 9.9 |
| 4 | Penn Valley | 8.0 |
| 5 | Coarsegold | 6.3 |
| 6 | Romoland | 5.6 |
| 7 | Portola Valley | 5.0 |
| 8 | Plymouth | 4.6 |
| 9 | Kenwood | 4.6 |
| 10 | Occidental | 4.1 |

Table 7: Top Small Towns – Number of Solar Installations per Capita

Small Towns

The most developed markets, measured by the number of installations per resident, can be found among small towns in the northern Bay Area, the Sierra foothills, and the Central Valley. Among towns with between 1,000 and 10,000 residents:

- Sebastopol, located about 50 miles north of San Francisco in Sonoma County, has 10.3 solar installations for every 100 residents.
- Newcastle, located 30 miles north of Sacramento on the I-80 corridor in Placer County, has 10.2 solar power systems for every 100 residents.
- Nevada City, located in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada just north of the I-80 corridor in Nevada County, has 9.9 installations for every 100 people.

In terms of the total amount of solar capacity per capita, the leading towns

Table 8: Top Small Towns – Solar Capacity per Capita

| Rank | City | Solar Capacity per Capita (Watts) |
|------|-------------|--|
| 1 | Herald | 3,845 |
| 2 | Edwards AFB | 2,078 |
| 3 | Lebec | 1,061 |
| 4 | Maxwell | 927 |
| 5 | Middletown | 883 |
| 6 | San Miguel | 875 |
| 7 | Newcastle | 762 |
| 8 | Kenwood | 740 |
| 9 | Sebastopol | 670 |
| 10 | St. Helena | 663 |

can be found in the Central Valley and near the Mojave Desert.

- Herald, a small agricultural town just southeast of Sacramento in the Central Valley, leads the state with 3,800 Watts (W) of solar capacity per resident. Herald's two large solar arrays (1.9 MW and 1.3 MW), which were built by the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) in the 1980s, combined with its small population (1,184) rank Herald as one of the most developed solar markets.¹¹
- Edwards Air Force Base, a military facility in the Mojave Desert north of Los Angeles, along the border of Kern and San Bernardino counties, has just over 2,000 W of solar capacity per resident.
- Lebec, a small community just off of the Grapevine on I-5, north of Los Angeles in Kern County, has a little over 1,000 W of solar capacity per resident.





Table 9: Solar Installations 2009 Through Mid-2011 by City:Top Ten¹⁸

| City | Capacity Installed Since 2009 | Number of Installations Completed Since 2009 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Los Angeles | 23,174 | 2,630 |
| San Diego | 17,348 | 2,245 |
| San Jose | 15,167 | 1,400 |
| Sacramento | 10,351 | 427 |
| San Francisco | 9,681 | 1,055 |
| Mountain View | 9,427 | 535 |
| Bakersfield | 8,882 | 892 |
| Fresno | 7,906 | 1,118 |
| Lancaster | 7,540 | 173 |
| Santa Rosa | 5,061 | 742 |

California's Solar Market Is Growing Rapidly

Over the last decade, the market for solar energy systems on or near homes and buildings in California grew nearly 100-fold. In 2000, California had fewer than 1,000 rooftop solar systems, with less than 10 megawatts (MW) of total electric generation capacity.¹² In 2011, California passed the milestone of installing 1,000 MW of distributed solar capacity, with more than 100,000 separate installations.¹³ The state is on track to achieve the goal of the 2006 Million Solar Roofs Initiative, adding 3,000 MW of distributed solar capacity by the end of 2016.¹⁴ (See Figure 4.)

The state's solar market continues to grow exponentially, at a pace of up to 40 percent per year.¹⁵ In the past two years alone, the solar industry has installed more than 5,000 kW of solar power in each of 10 California cities (listed in Table 9).¹⁶

Solar Is a Critical Part of California's Clean Energy Future

Solar power makes sense for California. Each new solar panel helps to clean our air, fight global warming, improve the reliability of our electricity grid, boost the economy, and create much-needed jobs.

Solar Power Reduces Air Pollution and Fights Global Warming

Growing concern over air pollution and global warming provide a powerful incentive for consumers and governments alike to embrace solar power as a critical part of California's clean energy future.

Solar power makes California's air cleaner. As neighborhoods get more and

more of their electricity from solar, they consume less energy from power plants fired by natural gas, helping to reduce smog. This is particularly true because solar power works best when California needs it most: hot summer afternoons when electricity demand is at its highest and smog pollution at its worst. Instead of having to rely on peaking natural gas power plants that are typically dirtier than large, base-load natural gas power plants, California can increasingly turn to solar photovoltaic systems. Every megawatt of solar power installed in the Los Angeles area prevents the emission of nearly 700 pounds of smog-forming pollution per year.¹⁹

Solar panels also reduce global warming pollution. As neighborhoods get more and more of their electricity from solar, they consume less energy from gasfired power plants and cut down on global warming emissions. Every megawatt of solar power installed in Los Angeles cuts global warming pollution by more than 900 metric tons per year.²⁰ For every two households that each install a 3 kW solar power system, the global warming benefit is comparable to eliminating the emissions from one car.²¹

Solar Power Spurs Economic Growth and Creates Jobs

The rapid development of the solar market in California cities is helping to build a strong and sustainable solar industry. Today, California is home to about 20 percent of all solar companies in the United States. More than 3,500 firms are active in California's solar industry, currently employing more than 25,000 people.²²

California's support for solar power helped the industry weather the recent recession. While other sectors of the state economy shed jobs, overall employment in "green" sectors increased 5 percent from 2007 to 2008.²³ Nationwide, the solar industry grew nearly 7 percent in 2010, growing nearly 10 times faster than the overall economy.²⁴

The solar industry projects continued explosive growth. Industry analysts predict the installation of more than 1 million residential solar projects in California by 2020, adding on the order of \$30 billion to the economy and creating more than 20,000 new jobs per year.²⁵

California Has Only Just Begun to Scratch the Surface of Its Solar Energy Potential

Despite this dramatic growth, California has barely tapped into its overall solar energy potential. The National Renewable Energy Laboratory estimates that the state could host more than 80,000 MW of rooftop solar energy systems.²⁶



Figure 5: California Has Barely Begun to Capture Its Rooftop Solar Energy Resources³²

That much solar energy capacity could generate the equivalent of more than a third of the electricity that California uses in a year, statewide.²⁷ (See Figure 5.)

Other nations have shown that it is possible to achieve – and dramatically exceed – the pace of growth in the solar market necessary for California to reach its goal. Germany, for example, has already reached 17,000 MW of solar capacity – 17 times California's current total – through consistent and strong public policy support.²⁸ Germany is on track to increase its use of renewable sources of electricity from 20 percent of its electrical supply in 2011 to at least 35 percent by 2020.²⁹ By that year, Germany could have as much as 50,000 MW of solar power installed.³⁰ In addition to Germany, solar programs in Spain, Japan, Italy, and the Czech Republic have catapulted these markets ahead of California.³¹ (See Figure 6.)

Figure 6: Cumulative Installed Solar Photovoltaic Capacity through 2010³³



Policy Recommendations

alifornia's solar cities are leading the way toward a new, clean energy future. With every additional solar panel installed on a rooftop in the state, the cost of solar power is likely to decline and make solar technology increasingly accessible to everyday Californians. At the same time, each new solar panel reduces air pollution, creates local jobs and helps to stabilize the electric grid.

California's state and local leaders must support the growth of the solar industries and increase the size and depth of the solar market in communities across the state. Key steps include:

Ensuring that the Million Solar Roofs Initiative reaches its goal by the end of 2016.

• State leaders should work to make sure that the Million Solar Roofs

Initiative continues to stay on track. Local leaders should work with publicly-owned utilities to ensure the effective implementation of their solar incentive programs. In particular, the Los Angeles Department of Water & Power is crucial to the success of the overall Million Solar Roofs Initiative, as the state's largest publicly-owned utility.

Creating a net-zero energy building code to increase the use of solar energy in new construction.

- Incorporating solar power into new buildings at the time of construction represents an enormous opportunity to grow California's solar market.
- The failure of the legislature to reauthorize the Public Goods

Charge for renewable development threatens the long-term availability of funding for the New Solar Homes Partnership. The state needs an effective means of ensuring that all new construction includes renewable energy generation technology.

• To achieve more, California should require all new homes to include solar power or other on-site renewable electricity generation by no later than 2020, and all non-residential buildings by no later than 2030, through a net-zero energy building code requirement. Such a requirement would be consistent with the state's overall clean energy goals, as well as with steps that President Obama has ordered for federal buildings.³⁴

Adopting a strong feed-in-tariff policy to encourage solar power installation on warehouses, parking lots and other sites with low on-site energy demands but high levels of sunshine.

- Currently, California's distributed solar power policies are geared toward small-scale solar energy systems (less than 1 MW) intended exclusively for meeting on-site electricity demands. California has also recently embarked on a program to support large installations (10-20 MW) through what is called a Reverse Auction Mechanism (RAM).
- A feed-in tariff policy could help drive the market for medium-sized solar projects (10 MW and under), which are typically installed on warehouses, along freeways, or in parking lots, brownfields, and other places where this is little on-site electricity demand, but ample space to install solar panels.

• A feed-in tariff would require the state's utilities to purchase all of the electricity fed into the grid from a solar energy system under a long-term contract at a set rate. Feed-in tariffs have driven the rapid growth of solar markets in Germany, Vermont, and Gainesville, Florida.³⁵

Allowing all California ratepayers to benefit from going solar.

- Net metering partially compensates solar energy system owners for the substantial benefits that they provide to other users of the electricity grid, including cleaner air, less global warming pollution, less need to finance the installation of new power plants or new transmission lines, and a more stable electricity grid. State leaders should maintain or enhance the value of net metering as an incentive for installing solar power. Accordingly, the California Public Utilities Commission should reject San Diego Gas & Electric's recent proposal to separate how much it charges customers for electricity from how much it charges to transport that electricity, which would increase electricity bills for residential solar panel owners in its service territory by \$10 to \$40 per month – or more than 90 percent for a typical solar home. According to the California Center for Sustainable Energy, schools, local governments, and business currently on the most "solar friendly" commercial rates would see their bills increase by thousands of dollars annually.³⁶
- Net metering is currently limited to 5 percent of a utility's peak aggregate demand, raised from 2.5 percent in February 2011. This cap is set too low to ensure that all solar energy system owners who participate in the

Million Solar Roofs Initiative earn fair compensation for the benefits that their systems will provide to all ratepayers. California should expand its net metering cap or eliminate it altogether.

• In July 2011, the California Public Utilities Commission expanded its virtual net metering program to allow all renters and multifamily residents to benefit from solar power and other distributed energy generation technologies.³⁷ Local and state governments should continue to design and implement innovative programs to broaden the participation of Californians in the solar market.

Expanding financing opportunities for solar energy systems.

- Other important policies can help ensure that homeowners or business owners who install solar energy systems maximize the return on their investments. Allowing on-bill collection to repay financing costs could enable potential solar customers to install systems with no money down and low interest payments, as an ongoing part of the utility bill. These programs, such as the PAYS America program (Pay As You Save), harness future savings from renewable technologies or efficiency measures to pay the up-front cost of installation. They are especially promising for multi-family dwellings because they allow the payments to be attached to the utility meter, making the program attractive to renters, as well as property owners.
- The Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) program, which enables property owners to finance renewable energy and energy efficiency

projects through local government loans that are paid back via property tax bills, should be reinstated. California leaders should continue to advocate for the program to be restored at the federal level.

Removing barriers to going solar at the local and state levels, including minimizing challenges with interconnection and permitting.

• State and local leaders should work to standardize procedures, minimize fees, and streamline the process of installing a new solar energy system and integrating it into the electricity grid. Different jurisdictions across the state have varying permitting and interconnection procedures and fees, which can add unnecessary friction to the process of installing solar energy systems. Industry analysts predict that reforming permitting would lead to the installation of an additional 132,000 residential solar power systems through 2020, a 13 percent increase in the pace of solar development – which would contribute \$5.1 billion to the California economy and create 3,900 full-time iobs.³⁸

Continuing to set ambitious clean energy goals and adopt innovative policies to achieve them.

• For example, Governor Jerry Brown's goal of installing 12,000 MW of distributed electricity generation in California by 2020 is a strong step above and beyond the Million Solar Roofs Initiative. California should adopt innovative policies to ensure that the state meets this visionary goal, enabling California to continue leading the nation's transformation to a clean energy economy.

Methodology

This report documents the number of grid-connected solar electric systems installed in California and their total electric generating capacity on a city-bycity basis. It focuses on solar photovoltaic installations that by and large are owned by ratepayers (of all customer classes) or third-party financing companies, as opposed to an electric utility, and are located on or near buildings throughout the state.

The report compiles solar energy installation data from the California Energy Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Center for Sustainable Energy, and the state's private and public utilities. The data represent the most recent available information from each source at the time of data collection. We counted all available solar installation data through no later than the close of August 2011. Due to limitations in the data, this report does not include solar energy systems that are not connected to the electricity grid. While numerous and an important part of California's clean energy infrastructure, there is no complete source of information for these types of solar installations.

Furthermore, this report does not measure the amount of solar power installed on a utility-by-utility basis, but is focused exclusively on city-by-city calculations. This distinction is important as several cities are serviced by more than one utility. As a result, installation statistics for cities can be higher than might be apparent from looking at a single source of data alone.

Below is a detailed description of how the raw data were translated into the number of solar installations and their generation capacity city-by-city.

Emerging Renewables Program

From 1998 through 2006, the California Energy Commission administered the Emerging Renewables Program, which provided rebates for solar power systems smaller than 30 kilowatts. The program still exists today but is limited to non-solar forms of small-scale renewable energy technologies. The bulk of the funds for this program were generated by a small surcharge on the bills of investor-owned utility ratepayers. The rest of the funds came from state appropriations after the California energy crisis in 2001. All rebates were available to consumers throughout the state, including those living within the territories of publicly-owned utilities. Solar installations for this program were calculated by removing all the installations that were either non-solar or were never completed (e.g., they applied for a rebate but never actually completed the project) from the database, and then sorting by city. The database can be accessed at California Energy Commission's website at www. energy.ca.gov/renewables/emerging_renewables/index.html.

Self Generation Incentive Program (SGIP)

Since 2001, the California Public Utilities Commission has overseen the Self Generation Incentive Program (SGIP), which provides a rebate for customers of investor-owned utilities who install large-scale distributed generation systems over 30 kilowatts in capacity. Funding for SGIP comes from a small surcharge on gas and electric bills for customers of investor-owned utilities. Prior to 2006, this program provided rebates for solar photovoltaic, wind, fuel cells, and other forms of distributed generation. Today, it only provides rebates for non-solar technologies. SGIP installations were calculated by removing all installations that were either non-solar or never completed, and then sorting by city. There were roughly 300 installations for which no city was listed in the database. This is an attempt by the program administrators to protect the privacy of those customers who owned the only large solar installation in a particular city. While this creates a significant gap in the data, it is unlikely to significantly change the "top ten" city-by-city analysis of this report. The SGIP database is available at California Public Utilities Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/energy/DistGen/ sgip.

California Solar Initiative

On January 1, 2007, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) began the California Solar Initiative (CSI) to provide rebates for all solar electric systems smaller than one megawatt on all existing homes, plus all new and existing commercial buildings. CSI was created by the Public Utilities Commission and supplemented by policy changes contained in the Million Solar Roofs Initiative, and is open to all solar electric technologies, though to date the program has been exclusively focused on solar photovoltaic systems. The CPUC maintains and regularly updates an online database of installations, including the cities in which the systems were placed.³⁹ This database forms the basis of much of this report. Only those systems installed by August 2011 were counted. Specifically, only those projects with an installed status of "Installed" within the database were included. All projects with an installed status of "Delisted," "Pending," "Wait List," or no installed status at all, were excluded.

New Solar Homes Partnership

In addition to the Emerging Renewables Program, the California Energy Commission also administers the New Solar Homes Partnership program. This program was created by the Million Solar Roofs Initiative to provide rebates specifically for new homes and new housing developments built with solar electric power systems. This program only encompasses housing developments and new homes built within investor-owned utility territories. New housing projects built in municipal utility districts are included in the municipal utility data. Systems approved for payment up to September 2011 were tabulated. Data was provided by Amy Morgan in the California Energy Commission's Media and Public Communications Office.

Municipal Utility Data

In compiling data for this report, the total number and generation capacity of solar photovoltaic systems was requested from individual municipal utilities in California for all installations in their service territories. Fifteen municipal utilities provided information, some with data going back to the early 1990s. Because different utilities responded at different times, and because of differences in the processes by which municipal utilities collect their information, the data incorporated into this report for municipal utilities is for installations through late 2010 or early to mid-2011, depending on the utility. Solar installation data from the following municipal utilities are included in this report:

- Anaheim Public Utilities
- Burbank Water & Power

- Glendale Water & Power
- City of Healdsburg
- Los Angeles Department of Water & Power
- Modesto Irrigation System
- Pacific Power
- City of Palo Alto
- Pasadena Water and Power
- Roseville Electric
- City and County of San Francisco
- Turlock Irrigation District
- Truckee Donner Public Utilities District
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District
- Silicon Valley Power

Combining Data from California's Solar Programs

Once the number of installations and their generation capacity were tabulated by city for each solar program listed above, the data were added together across the programs. The city names of many installations were changed to match the other sources of data as well as the population statistics. For example, "San Francsico" was changed to "San Francisco," "1000 Oaks" was changed to "Thousand Oaks," and "Chatsworth" was changed to "Los Angeles" (Chatsworth is not a city, but rather a community within the city of Los Angeles).

There was some overlap between the data supplied by the municipal utilities and the data from SGIP, in which both programs would list the same installation. In these cases, the overlapping data were deducted out of the SGIP data before the data from all programs were tabulated together.

The number and capacity of solar energy systems in this report for cities covered by municipal utilities are sometimes larger than what the municipal utility reported. This is because municipal utilities solely list solar installations on homes registered through their utility and under their service area. The data from programs, such as the California Solar Initiative, that list installations on homes within cities covered by municipal utilities, were combined with the municipal utility data and printed as the total results in this report.

To calculate the number of installations and their installed capacity per capita, the number of installations and installed capacity was divided by the city's population. The population data are from the U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder.

Appendices

Appendix A: Cities Ranked by Number of Solar Installations

The chart below contains the data for the total number of grid-tied solar systems installed in all of California's incorporated cities in order of greatest number of solar roofs to least. Some cities have the same number of solar systems installed and therefore share a ranking.

Г

| City | Number of | |
|---------------|---|---|
| City | Installations | |
| San Diego | 4,507 | |
| Los Angeles | 4,018 | |
| San Jose | 2,733 | |
| San Francisco | 2,405 | |
| Fresno | 2,146 | |
| Bakersfield | 1,643 | |
| Santa Rosa | 1,467 | |
| Roseville | 1,170 | |
| Clovis | 1,133 | |
| Sacramento | 1,119 | |
| Oakland | 1,010 | |
| Santa Cruz | 866 | |
| Berkeley | 857 | |
| Sebastopol | 759 | |
| Santa Barbara | 718 | |
| Davis | 715 | |
| Escondido | 709 | |
| Rocklin | 621 | |
| Chico | 615 | |
| San Ramon | 610 | |
| Santa Clarita | 589 | |
| Lincoln | 574 | |
| Long Beach | 563 | |
| Watsonville | 557 | |
| Livermore | 553 | |
| | City San Diego San Jose San Jose San Francisco Fresno Bakersfield Santa Rosa Roseville Clovis Clovis Sacramento Oakland Santa Cruz Berkeley Sebastopol Santa Barbara Davis Escondido Rocklin Chico San Ramon Santa Clarita Lincoln Long Beach Watsonville Livermore | CityNumber of InstallationsSan Diego4,507Los Angeles4,018San Jose2,733San Francisco2,405Fresno2,146Bakersfield1,643Santa Rosa1,467Roseville1,170Clovis1,133Sacramento1,119Oakland1,010Santa Cruz866Berkeley857Sebastopol759Santa Barbara718Davis715Escondido709Rocklin621Chico615San Ramon610Santa Clarita589Lincoln574Long Beach563Watsonville557Livermore553 |

| Rank City | | Number of |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| 26 | Poway | 544 |
| 20 | El Dorado Hills | 543 |
| 27 | El Cajon | 533 |
| 20 | Pleasanton | 530 |
| 29 | | 530 |
| 21 | | 522 |
| 31 | Sunnyvale | 515 |
| 32 | Napa | 511 |
| 33 | Ladera Ranch | 509 |
| 34 | Rancho Cordova | 492 |
| 35 | Palm Desert | 478 |
| 36 | Sonoma | 478 |
| 36 | Los Altos | 474 |
| 38 | Temecula | 473 |
| 39 | Thousand Oaks | 461 |
| 40 | Palo Alto | 450 |
| 41 | Corona | 447 |
| 42 | Visalia | 442 |
| 43 | Grass Valley | 441 |
| 44 | Auburn | 436 |
| 45 | Petaluma | 433 |
| 46 | Huntington Beach | 430 |
| 47 | Vacaville | 428 |
| 48 | Fremont | 416 |
| 49 | San Rafael | 415 |
| 50 | Palm Springs | 414 |

Appendix B: Cities Ranked by Solar Capacity

The chart below contains the data for the total installed capacity of grid-tied solar systems in all of California's incorporated cities in order of greatest capacity installed to least. Some cities have the same amount of solar installed and therefore share a ranking.

| Rank | City | Solar Capacity (kW) | Rank | City | Solar Capacity (kW) |
|------|----------------|---------------------------|------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | San Diego | 36,775 | 26 | Auburn | 5,594 |
| 2 | Los Angeles | 36,174 | 27 | San Bernardino | 5,581 |
| 3 | San Jose | 30,617 | 28 | Woodland | 5,537 |
| 4 | Fresno | 22,444 | 29 | Santa Clarita | 5,506 |
| 5 | San Francisco | 16,731 | 30 | Richmond | 5,439 |
| 6 | Bakersfield | 16,223 | 31 | Sonoma | 5,398 |
| 7 | Sacramento | 15,911 | 32 | Hanford | 5,141 |
| 8 | Santa Rosa | 14,015 | 33 | Ontario | 5,107 |
| 9 | Oakland | 9,860 | 34 | Paso Robles | 5,058 |
| 10 | Chico | 9,490 | 35 | Stockton | 4,996 |
| 11 | Clovis | 8,342 | 36 | Sebastopol | 4,942 |
| 12 | Livermore | 7,909 | 37 | Mountain View | 4,864 |
| 13 | Lancaster | 7,885 | 38 | Oroville | 4,864 |
| 14 | Napa | 6,846 | 39 | Temecula | 4,857 |
| 15 | Rancho Cordova | 6,413 | 40 | Santa Barbara | 4,699 |
| 16 | Palmdale | 6,392 | 41 | Poway | 4,698 |
| 17 | Vacaville | 6,162 | 42 | Herald | 4,553 |
| 18 | Hayward | 6,135 | 43 | Santa Cruz | 4,543 |
| 19 | Pleasanton | 6,109 | 44 | Modesto | 4,416 |
| 20 | Sunnyvale | 6,099 | 45 | Corona | 4,404 |
| 21 | Visalia | 5,965 | 46 | Fremont | 4,376 |
| 22 | Irvine | 5,864 | 47 | Edwards AFB | 4,288 |
| 23 | Burbank | 5,709 | 48 | Escondido | 4,285 |
| 24 | Santa Clara | 5,708 | 49 | Morgan Hill | 4,217 |
| 25 | Petaluma | 5,595 | 50 | Chula Vista | 4,200 |

Appendix C: Small Towns Ranked by Number of Solar Installations per Resident

The chart below ranks small towns (population 1,000-10,000) by the number of installations per resident.

| Rank | City | Number of installations per 100 people |
|------|-----------------|--|
| 1 | Sebastopol | 10.29 |
| 2 | Newcastle | 10.21 |
| 3 | Nevada City | 9.88 |
| 4 | Penn Valley | 7.96 |
| 5 | Coarsegold | 6.30 |
| 6 | Romoland | 5.64 |
| 7 | Portola Valley | 4.96 |
| 8 | Plymouth | 4.58 |
| 9 | Kenwood | 4.57 |
| 10 | Occidental | 4.13 |
| 11 | Shingle Springs | 4.11 |
| 12 | Ojai | 3.99 |
| 13 | Palo Cedro | 3.94 |
| 14 | Los Altos Hills | 3.82 |
| 15 | Byron | 3.68 |
| 16 | Loomis | 3.28 |
| 17 | Rancho Santa Fe | 3.27 |
| 18 | Middletown | 3.10 |
| 19 | San Miguel | 3.08 |
| 20 | Aptos | 2.97 |
| 21 | Julian | 2.93 |
| 22 | Sonora | 2.77 |
| 23 | Woodside | 2.72 |
| 24 | Mariposa | 2.72 |
| 25 | Penngrove | 2.54 |
| 26 | St. Helena | 2.48 |
| 27 | Valley Springs | 2.48 |
| 28 | Bolinas | 2.41 |
| 29 | Del Mar | 2.40 |
| 30 | Inverness | 2.38 |

Appendix D: Small Towns Ranked by Solar Capacity per Resident

The chart below ranks small towns (population 1,000-10,000) by the installed solar capacity per resident.

| Rank | City | KW capacity per |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | , | capita |
| 1 | Herald | 3.85 |
| 2 | Edwards AFB | 2.08 |
| 3 | Lebec | 1.06 |
| 4 | Maxwell | 0.93 |
| 5 | Middletown | 0.88 |
| 6 | San Miguel | 0.88 |
| 7 | Newcastle | 0.76 |
| 8 | Kenwood | 0.74 |
| 9 | Sebastopol | 0.67 |
| 10 | St. Helena | 0.66 |
| 11 | Littlerock | 0.63 |
| 12 | Le Grand | 0.63 |
| 13 | Biggs | 0.58 |
| 14 | Sonoma | 0.51 |
| 15 | Winchester | 0.47 |
| 16 | Thornton | 0.44 |
| 17 | Auburn | 0.42 |
| 18 | Caruthers | 0.42 |
| 19 | Lakeport | 0.39 |
| 20 | Nevada City | 0.36 |
| 21 | Rancho Santa Fe | 0.36 |
| 22 | Penn Valley | 0.35 |
| 23 | Portola Valley | 0.35 |
| 24 | Plymouth | 0.35 |
| 25 | Valley Center | 0.34 |
| 26 | Arbuckle | 0.33 |
| 27 | Oroville | 0.31 |
| 28 | Coarsegold | 0.29 |
| 29 | Wilton | 0.29 |
| 30 | Calistoga | 0.29 |

Appendix E: Large Towns Ranked by Number of Solar Installations per Resident

The chart below ranks large towns (population 10,000-50,000) by the number of installations per resident.

| Rank | City | # of installations per 100 people |
|------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Sonoma | 4.49 |
| 2 | Grass Valley | 3.43 |
| 3 | Auburn | 3.27 |
| 4 | Mill Valley | 2.42 |
| 5 | Healdsburg | 2.38 |
| 6 | Ladera Ranch | 2.21 |
| 7 | Placerville | 2.19 |
| 8 | Ramona | 1.93 |
| 9 | Los Gatos | 1.77 |
| 10 | Rancho Mirage | 1.72 |
| 11 | Los Altos | 1.64 |
| 12 | Arroyo Grande | 1.52 |
| 13 | Santa Cruz | 1.44 |
| 14 | Saratoga | 1.36 |
| 15 | Lincoln | 1.34 |
| 16 | Alpine | 1.32 |
| 17 | San Anselmo | 1.30 |
| 18 | El Dorado Hills | 1.29 |
| 19 | Lafayette | 1.29 |
| 20 | Oroville | 1.27 |
| 21 | Fallbrook | 1.26 |
| 22 | Granite Bay | 1.26 |
| 23 | Alamo | 1.26 |
| 24 | Malibu | 1.25 |
| 25 | Clovis | 1.18 |
| 26 | Orinda | 1.18 |
| 27 | Poway | 1.14 |
| 28 | Rocklin | 1.09 |
| 29 | Davis | 1.09 |
| 30 | Watsonville | 1.09 |

Appendix F: Large Towns Ranked by Solar Capacity per Resident

The chart below ranks large towns (population 10,000-50,000) by the installed solar capacity per resident.

| Rank | City | Solar Capacity (kW) per capita |
|------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Sonoma | 0.51 |
| 2 | Auburn | 0.42 |
| 3 | Oroville | 0.31 |
| 4 | Healdsburg | 0.27 |
| 5 | Newman | 0.20 |
| 6 | Rancho Mirage | 0.17 |
| 7 | Paso Robles | 0.17 |
| 8 | Grass Valley | 0.15 |
| 9 | Oakdale | 0.15 |
| 10 | Placerville | 0.14 |
| 11 | Fallbrook | 0.13 |
| 12 | Blythe | 0.12 |
| 13 | Kingsburg | 0.12 |
| 14 | Los Altos | 0.11 |
| 15 | Mill Valley | 0.11 |
| 16 | Morgan Hill | 0.11 |
| 17 | Exeter | 0.11 |
| 18 | Chico | 0.11 |
| 19 | Clearlake | 0.10 |
| 20 | Woodland | 0.10 |
| 21 | Rancho Cordova | 0.10 |
| 22 | Poway | 0.10 |
| 23 | Ramona | 0.10 |
| 24 | Livermore | 0.10 |
| 25 | Petaluma | 0.10 |
| 26 | Hanford | 0.10 |
| 27 | California City | 0.09 |
| 28 | Chowchilla | 0.09 |
| 29 | Los Gatos | 0.09 |
| 30 | Malibu | 0.09 |

Appendix G: Cities Ranked by Number of Solar Installations per Resident

The chart below ranks cities (population 50,000+) by the number of installations per resident.

| Rank | City | # of installations per 100 people |
|------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Santa Cruz | 1.44 |
| 2 | Clovis | 1.18 |
| 3 | Rocklin | 1.09 |
| 4 | Davis | 1.09 |
| 5 | Watsonville | 1.09 |
| 6 | Roseville | 0.98 |
| 7 | Santa Rosa | 0.87 |
| 8 | San Ramon | 0.85 |
| 9 | Santa Barbara | 0.81 |
| 10 | Berkeley | 0.76 |
| 11 | Rancho Cordova | 0.76 |
| 12 | Pleasanton | 0.75 |
| 13 | Petaluma | 0.75 |
| 14 | San Rafael | 0.72 |
| 15 | Chico | 0.71 |
| 16 | Palo Alto | 0.70 |
| 17 | Livermore | 0.68 |
| 18 | Napa | 0.66 |
| 19 | Novato | 0.66 |
| 20 | Walnut Creek | 0.63 |
| 21 | Cupertino | 0.57 |
| 22 | Madera | 0.57 |
| 23 | Encinitas | 0.56 |
| 24 | El Cajon | 0.54 |
| 25 | Woodland | 0.53 |
| 26 | Mountain View | 0.52 |
| 27 | Brentwood | 0.50 |
| 28 | Escondido | 0.49 |
| 29 | San Marcos | 0.49 |
| 30 | La Mesa | 0.48 |

Appendix H: Cities Ranked by Solar Capacity per Resident

The chart below ranks cities (50,000+ population) by the installed solar capacity per resident.

| Rank | City | KW capacity per capita |
|------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Chico | 0.110 |
| 2 | Woodland | 0.100 |
| 3 | Rancho Cordova | 0.099 |
| 4 | Livermore | 0.098 |
| 5 | Petaluma | 0.097 |
| 6 | Hanford | 0.095 |
| 7 | Napa | 0.089 |
| 8 | Clovis | 0.087 |
| 9 | Pleasanton | 0.087 |
| 10 | Santa Rosa | 0.084 |
| 11 | Santa Cruz | 0.076 |
| 12 | Vacaville | 0.067 |
| 13 | Rocklin | 0.064 |
| 14 | Mountain View | 0.064 |
| 15 | San Rafael | 0.059 |
| 16 | Madera | 0.058 |
| 17 | Milpitas | 0.057 |
| 18 | Cupertino | 0.056 |
| 19 | Santa Barbara | 0.053 |
| 20 | Burbank | 0.053 |
| 21 | Yuba City | 0.053 |
| 22 | Richmond | 0.052 |
| 23 | Lancaster | 0.050 |
| 24 | Santa Clara | 0.049 |
| 25 | Folsom | 0.049 |
| 26 | Temecula | 0.049 |
| 27 | Visalia | 0.048 |
| 28 | Palo Alto | 0.047 |
| 29 | La Mesa | 0.047 |
| 30 | Davis | 0.047 |

Appendix I: Alphabetical Listing of All Cities

The chart below contains the data for the total number and total capacity of grid-tied solar systems installed in all of California's incorporated cities in alphabetical order.

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Acton | 54 | 327 | 329 | 384 |
| Adelanto | 4 | 642 | 28 | 627 |
| Agoura Hills | 144 | 166 | 1,148 | 204 |
| Agua Dulce | 29 | 435 | 208 | 444 |
| Aguanga | 8 | 580 | 44 | 597 |
| Ahwahnee | 21 | 475 | 92 | 529 |
| Alamo | 183 | 134 | 1,267 | 183 |
| Albany | 92 | 249 | 263 | 416 |
| Alhambra | 39 | 385 | 337 | 382 |
| Aliso Viejo | 37 | 393 | 325 | 387 |
| Alpine | 188 | 129 | 1,236 | 187 |
| Altadena | 136 | 176 | 514 | 324 |
| American Canyon | 45 | 364 | 1,296 | 179 |
| Anaheim | 268 | 95 | 1,959 | 124 |
| Anderson | 67 | 300 | 1,302 | 178 |
| Angels Camp | 66 | 303 | 546 | 315 |
| Angwin | 31 | 426 | 264 | 414 |
| Antelope | 50 | 343 | 172 | 460 |
| Antioch | 164 | 150 | 1,566 | 146 |
| Apple Valley | 238 | 110 | 2,483 | 100 |
| Aptos | 185 | 130 | 876 | 257 |
| Arbuckle | 16 | 508 | 1,013 | 234 |
| Arcadia | 137 | 173 | 932 | 249 |
| Arcata | 170 | 147 | 413 | 356 |
| Armona | 3 | 656 | 14 | 671 |
| Arnold | 7 | 594 | 23 | 639 |
| Aromas | 40 | 381 | 149 | 479 |
| Arroyo Grande | 263 | 98 | 1,243 | 186 |
| Artesia | 4 | 642 | 17 | 658 |
| Arvin | 19 | 489 | 81 | 537 |
| Atascadero | 185 | 130 | 864 | 262 |
| Atherton | 119 | 197 | 935 | 246 |
| Atwater | 95 | 243 | 661 | 294 |
| Auberry | 32 | 418 | 182 | 454 |
| Auburn | 436 | 44 | 5,594 | 26 |
| Avalon | 13 | 532 | 30 | 623 |
| Avenal | 3 | 656 | 30 | 621 |
| Azusa | 3 | 656 | 17 | 657 |
| Bakersfield | 1,643 | 6 | 16,223 | 6 |
| Baldwin Park | 11 | 551 | 714 | 288 |
| Banning | 16 | 508 | 71 | 556 |
| Barstow | 34 | 406 | 307 | 398 |
| Bay Point | 6 | 606 | 13 | 673 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Beaumont | 60 | 315 | 1,178 | 199 |
| Bell | 5 | 619 | 43 | 598 |
| Bell Canyon | 14 | 525 | 93 | 528 |
| Bell Gardens | 2 | 674 | 308 | 396 |
| Bella Vista | 10 | 558 | 46 | 592 |
| Bellflower | 25 | 449 | 88 | 534 |
| Belmont | 114 | 204 | 523 | 322 |
| Belvedere | 32 | 418 | 141 | 483 |
| Ben Lomond | 51 | 340 | 197 | 449 |
| Benicia | 76 | 279 | 729 | 284 |
| Berkeley | 857 | 13 | 3,588 | 59 |
| Berry Creek | 5 | 619 | 16 | 661 |
| Bethel Island | 2 | 674 | 8 | 693 |
| Beverly Hills | 76 | 279 | 609 | 303 |
| Big Bear City | 1 | 693 | 3 | 716 |
| Big Bear Lake | 20 | 484 | 67 | 563 |
| Big Pine | 1 | 693 | 6 | 700 |
| Biggs | 5 | 619 | 986 | 242 |
| Bishop | 85 | 263 | 760 | 277 |
| Bloomington | 15 | 516 | 90 | 531 |
| Blue Lake | 7 | 594 | 19 | 656 |
| Blythe | 53 | 330 | 2,526 | 98 |
| Bodega Bay | 15 | 516 | 75 | 546 |
| Bodfish | 5 | 619 | 23 | 641 |
| Bolinas | 39 | 385 | 324 | 388 |
| Bonadelle Ranchos-Madera Ranchos | 1 | 693 | 5 | 706 |
| Bonita | 88 | 255 | 416 | 351 |
| Bonny Doon | 4 | 642 | 14 | 669 |
| Bonsall | 40 | 381 | 313 | 393 |
| Boonville | 23 | 465 | 155 | 475 |
| Boron | 5 | 619 | 428 | 348 |
| Borrego Springs | 62 | 311 | 278 | 407 |
| Boulder Creek | 52 | 335 | 259 | 417 |
| Bradbury | 8 | 580 | 67 | 562 |
| Brea | 65 | 305 | 741 | 281 |
| Brentwood | 257 | 100 | 1,663 | 143 |
| Brisbane | 19 | 489 | 108 | 509 |
| Brookdale | 1 | 693 | 7 | 694 |
| Buellton | 11 | 551 | 68 | 560 |
| Buena Park | 90 | 252 | 900 | 254 |
| Burbank | 235 | 111 | 5,709 | 23 |
| Burlingame | 103 | 226 | 437 | 346 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Burney | 6 | 606 | 53 | 581 |
| Buttonwillow | 3 | 656 | 23 | 638 |
| Byron | 47 | 356 | 273 | 410 |
| Cabazon | 2 | 674 | 6 | 703 |
| Calabasas | 104 | 222 | 705 | 289 |
| California City | 38 | 391 | 1,325 | 173 |
| Calimesa | 7 | 594 | 35 | 611 |
| Calistoga | 98 | 238 | 1,472 | 161 |
| Camarillo | 309 | 77 | 2,664 | 91 |
| Cambria | 19 | 489 | 79 | 542 |
| Cameron Park | 176 | 141 | 1,345 | 171 |
| Camino | 18 | 499 | 126 | 493 |
| Campbell | 176 | 141 | 1,025 | 232 |
| Campo | 8 | 580 | 37 | 605 |
| Canyon Lake | 81 | 271 | 474 | 337 |
| Capitola | 43 | 372 | 185 | 453 |
| Carlsbad | 380 | 60 | 3,421 | 65 |
| Carmel | 116 | 201 | 728 | 285 |
| Carmel Valley Village | 104 | 222 | 609 | 304 |
| Carmel-By-the-Sea | 3 | 656 | 9 | 687 |
| Carmichael | 105 | 221 | 413 | 355 |
| Carpinteria | 53 | 330 | 290 | 404 |
| Carson | 25 | 449 | 1,612 | 144 |
| Caruthers | 15 | 516 | 1,037 | 229 |
| Castaic | 93 | 247 | 472 | 338 |
| Castro Valley | 226 | 115 | 1,197 | 196 |
| Castroville | 7 | 594 | 42 | 600 |
| Cathedral City | 157 | 157 | 868 | 259 |
| Cayucos | 24 | 460 | 80 | 540 |
| Ceres | 7 | 594 | 29 | 625 |
| Cerritos | 71 | 289 | 895 | 256 |
| Challenge- Brownsville | 5 | 619 | 22 | 645 |
| Cherry Valley | 9 | 568 | 44 | 596 |
| Chico | 615 | 19 | 9,490 | 10 |
| Chino | 137 | 173 | 3,433 | 64 |
| Chino Hills | 185 | 130 | 1,346 | 170 |
| Chowchilla | 37 | 393 | 1,746 | 137 |
| Chualar | 2 | 674 | 21 | 649 |
| Chula Vista | 306 | 79 | 4,200 | 50 |
| Citrus Heights | 122 | 192 | 865 | 261 |
| Claremont | 314 | 76 | 1,073 | 218 |
| Clayton | 78 | 274 | 363 | 372 |
| Clearlake | 44 | 368 | 1,597 | 145 |
| Clearlake Oaks | 25 | 449 | 73 | 551 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cloverdale | 123 | 191 | 1,561 | 147 |
| Clovis | 1,133 | 9 | 8,342 | 11 |
| Coalinga | 14 | 525 | 60 | 572 |
| Coarsegold | 116 | 201 | 538 | 319 |
| Cobb | 13 | 532 | 36 | 608 |
| Colfax | 46 | 358 | 226 | 433 |
| Colma | 5 | 619 | 13 | 674 |
| Colton | 18 | 499 | 103 | 514 |
| Columbia | 7 | 594 | 36 | 606 |
| Colusa | 25 | 449 | 240 | 426 |
| Commerce | 2 | 674 | 141 | 484 |
| Compton | 22 | 472 | 800 | 273 |
| Concord | 303 | 81 | 2,392 | 102 |
| Copperopolis | 28 | 439 | 142 | 482 |
| Corcoran | 18 | 499 | 111 | 506 |
| Corning | 41 | 378 | 391 | 362 |
| Corona | 447 | 41 | 4,404 | 45 |
| Coronado | 108 | 215 | 489 | 333 |
| Corralitos | 12 | 542 | 71 | 557 |
| Corte Madera | 70 | 291 | 608 | 305 |
| Costa Mesa | 173 | 145 | 2,060 | 117 |
| Cotati | 53 | 330 | 371 | 370 |
| Coto De Caza | 36 | 400 | 225 | 434 |
| Cottonwood | 70 | 291 | 386 | 365 |
| Covelo | 21 | 475 | 94 | 527 |
| Covina | 82 | 269 | 842 | 265 |
| Crest | 1 | 693 | 7 | 697 |
| Crestline | 2 | 674 | 9 | 688 |
| Crockett | 5 | 619 | 19 | 654 |
| Cudahy | 3 | 656 | 15 | 665 |
| Culver City | 121 | 195 | 1,010 | 235 |
| Cupertino | 334 | 70 | 3,275 | 70 |
| Cutler | 3 | 656 | 16 | 660 |
| Cypress | 113 | 205 | 405 | 359 |
| Daly City | 24 | 460 | 1,033 | 230 |
| Dana Point | 50 | 343 | 225 | 435 |
| Danville | 396 | 55 | 2,055 | 118 |
| Davis | 715 | 16 | 3,068 | 76 |
| Deer Park | 1 | 693 | 15 | 664 |
| Del Mar | 100 | 234 | 504 | 327 |
| Del Monte Forest | 15 | 516 | 62 | 569 |
| Del Rey Oaks | 1 | 693 | 2 | 722 |
| Delano | 11 | 551 | 2,076 | 115 |
| Delhi | 4 | 642 | 52 | 585 |
| Denair | 27 | 444 | 715 | 286 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Descanso | 17 | 506 | 104 | 512 |
| Desert Hot Springs | 74 | 283 | 417 | 350 |
| Diablo | 13 | 532 | 161 | 468 |
| Diamond Bar | 66 | 303 | 635 | 298 |
| Diamond Springs | 4 | 642 | 16 | 659 |
| Dinuba | 42 | 374 | 1,233 | 188 |
| Discovery Bay | 29 | 435 | 153 | 476 |
| Dixon | 77 | 276 | 1,268 | 181 |
| Dos Palos | 4 | 642 | 54 | 579 |
| Downey | 51 | 340 | 545 | 316 |
| Duarte | 31 | 426 | 670 | 293 |
| Dublin | 195 | 127 | 1,926 | 127 |
| Dunnigan | 6 | 606 | 31 | 620 |
| Durham | 48 | 351 | 1,174 | 200 |
| East Palo Alto | 45 | 364 | 362 | 373 |
| East Rancho Dominguez | 1 | 693 | 74 | 548 |
| Edwards AFB | 9 | 568 | 4,288 | 47 |
| El Cajon | 533 | 28 | 3,925 | 53 |
| El Cerrito | 265 | 97 | 1,091 | 214 |
| El Dorado Hills | 543 | 27 | 2,359 | 105 |
| El Granada | 33 | 410 | 117 | 502 |
| El Monte | 23 | 465 | 835 | 267 |
| El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) | 305 | 80 | 5,058 | 34 |
| El Segundo | 41 | 378 | 1,115 | 211 |
| El Sobrante | 69 | 294 | 247 | 424 |
| Elk Grove | 318 | 74 | 1,311 | 175 |
| Elverta | 36 | 400 | 139 | 486 |
| Emeryville | 12 | 542 | 150 | 478 |
| Empire | 2 | 674 | 6 | 702 |
| Encinitas | 336 | 69 | 1,538 | 154 |
| Escalon | 62 | 311 | 479 | 335 |
| Escondido | 709 | 17 | 4,285 | 48 |
| Esparto | 23 | 465 | 123 | 496 |
| Eureka | 77 | 276 | 439 | 345 |
| Exeter | 98 | 238 | 1,148 | 203 |
| Fair Oaks | 118 | 198 | 453 | 343 |
| Fairfax | 84 | 266 | 413 | 353 |
| Fairfield | 164 | 150 | 3,930 | 52 |
| Fallbrook | 385 | 58 | 3,922 | 54 |
| Farmersville | 69 | 294 | 165 | 464 |
| Felton | 39 | 385 | 234 | 431 |
| Ferndale | 8 | 580 | 32 | 619 |
| Fillmore | 28 | 439 | 199 | 447 |
| Firebaugh | 7 | 594 | 73 | 552 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Folsom | 176 | 141 | 3,504 | 63 |
| Fontana | 198 | 125 | 3,032 | 79 |
| Forest Ranch | 11 | 551 | 63 | 567 |
| Forestville | 56 | 321 | 240 | 427 |
| Fort Bragg | 73 | 285 | 340 | 379 |
| Fort Irwin | 3 | 656 | 35 | 610 |
| Fortuna | 16 | 508 | 36 | 609 |
| Foster City | 46 | 358 | 198 | 448 |
| Fountain Valley | 144 | 166 | 1,091 | 215 |
| Fowler | 24 | 460 | 164 | 465 |
| Frazier Park | 16 | 508 | 53 | 582 |
| Freedom | 15 | 516 | 21 | 646 |
| Fremont | 416 | 48 | 4,376 | 46 |
| French Camp | 2 | 674 | 9 | 689 |
| Fresno | 2,146 | 5 | 22,444 | 4 |
| Fullerton | 194 | 128 | 1,040 | 227 |
| Galt | 39 | 385 | 221 | 437 |
| Garden Grove | 122 | 192 | 645 | 296 |
| Gardena | 32 | 418 | 349 | 376 |
| Georgetown | 19 | 489 | 74 | 547 |
| Gerber | 3 | 656 | 12 | 678 |
| Gilroy | 230 | 113 | 2,585 | 95 |
| Glendale | 257 | 100 | 1,267 | 182 |
| Glendora | 101 | 230 | 1,376 | 167 |
| Gold River | 2 | 674 | 10 | 685 |
| Goleta | 108 | 215 | 1,079 | 216 |
| Gonzales | 37 | 393 | 1,058 | 222 |
| Grand Terrace | 14 | 525 | 61 | 571 |
| Granite Bay | 257 | 100 | 1,557 | 149 |
| Grass Valley | 441 | 43 | 1,945 | 126 |
| Graton | 13 | 532 | 38 | 604 |
| Greenfield | 14 | 525 | 412 | 357 |
| Greenville | 7 | 594 | 27 | 631 |
| Gridley | 13 | 532 | 71 | 554 |
| Grizzly Flats | 1 | 693 | 7 | 696 |
| Grover Beach | 27 | 444 | 101 | 518 |
| Guerneville | 34 | 406 | 235 | 430 |
| Gustine | 7 | 594 | 34 | 612 |
| Hacienda Heights | 56 | 321 | 250 | 423 |
| Half Moon Bay | 68 | 297 | 310 | 395 |
| Hamilton City | 6 | 606 | 27 | 630 |
| Hanford | 174 | 144 | 5,141 | 32 |
| Hawaiian Gardens | 3 | 656 | 4 | 711 |
| Hawthorne | 32 | 418 | 1,524 | 158 |
| Hayward | 164 | 150 | 6,135 | 18 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity | City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | So Ca |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Healdsburg | 268 | 95 | 2,992 | 80 | La Crescenta- | 73 | 285 | |
| Hemet | 249 | 105 | 1,334 | 172 | Montrose | 64 | 207 | |
| Herald | 23 | 465 | 4,553 | 42 | La Habra | 04 | 307 | |
| Hercules | 23 | 465 | 98 | 521 | | 37 | 595 | |
| Hermosa Beach | 71 | 289 | 315 | 391 | La Missala | 2/0 | 207 | |
| Hesperia | 76 | 279 | 772 | 275 | | 00 | 297 | |
| Hidden Hills | 8 | 580 | 98 | 520 | La Paima | 33 | 402 | |
| Hidden Valley Lake | 21 | 475 | 96 | 523 | La Puente | 10 | 536 | |
| Highland | 110 | 211 | 933 | 248 | La Quinta | I | 693 | |
| Hillsborough | 111 | 209 | 754 | 278 | La Selva Beach | 6 | 619 | |
| Hollister | 101 | 230 | 621 | 300 | La Verne | 97 | 241 | |
| Homeland | 19 | 489 | 111 | 507 | Ladera Ranch | 509 | 33 | |
| Hughson | 10 | 558 | 120 | 501 | Lafayette | 308 | /8 | |
| Huntington Beach | 430 | 46 | 1,847 | 132 | Laguna Beach | 104 | 222 | |
| Huntington Park | 5 | 619 | 122 | 497 | Laguna Hills | 101 | 230 | |
| Huron | 3 | 656 | 1,058 | 221 | Laguna Niguel | 115 | 203 | |
| Hydesville | 9 | 568 | 23 | 637 | Laguna Woods | 15 | 516 | |
| dyllwild-Pine Cove | 12 | 542 | 49 | 587 | Lagunitas-Forest Knolls | 8 | 580 | |
| mperial Beach | 52 | 335 | 138 | 487 | Lake Arrowhead | 15 | 516 | |
| ndian Wells | 72 | 288 | 626 | 299 | Lake Elsinore | 99 | 235 | |
| ndio | 1 | 693 | 2 | 717 | Lake Forest | 152 | 161 | |
| Inglewood | 30 | 432 | 691 | 292 | Lake Isabella | 26 | 447 | |
| nterlaken | 5 | 619 | 22 | 644 | Lake Los Angeles | 1 | 693 | |
| nverness | 31 | 426 | 132 | 490 | Lake Sherwood | 1 | 693 | |
| nyokern | 23 | 465 | 121 | 500 | Lakeport | 112 | 206 | |
| one | 33 | 410 | 186 | 452 | Lakeside | 172 | 138 | |
| rvine | 280 | 89 | 5,864 | 22 | Lakeview | 1 | 693 | |
| rwindale | 1 | 693 | . 4 | 712 | Lakewood | 103 | 226 | |
| ackson | 25 | 449 | 132 | 489 | Lamont | 2 | 674 | |
| amestown | 28 | 439 | 190 | 451 | Lancaster | 241 | 107 | |
| amul | 144 | 166 | 823 | 269 | Larkspur | 65 | 305 | |
| oshua Tree | 46 | 358 | 360 | 374 | Lathrop | 21 | 175 | |
| ulian | 44 | 368 | 161 | 467 | Laton | 10 | 4/3 | |
| | 74 | 283 | 279 | 406 | | 10 | 508 | |
| Kensington | 62 | 311 | 235 | 429 | Lawnuale | 9 | 208 | |
| Kentfield | 128 | 182 | 846 | 263 | | 25 | 449 | |
| Kenwood | <u>ل</u> مح 120 | 356 | 761 | 203 | Le Grand | 18 | 499 | |
| (erman | 20 | 435 | 158 | 470 | Lepec | 3 | 000 | |
| (ernville | 11 | 400 | 138 | 4/0 | Lemon Grove | 42 | 3/4 | |
| | ۱۱ د | 610 | 43 | 669 | Lemoore | 204 | 122 | |
| (ing City | 3 | 500 | 14 | 500 | Leona Valley | 4 | 642 | |
| | 8 | 080 | 48 | 17: | Lexington Hills | 1 | 693 | |
| Kingsburg | /6 | 2/9 | 1,325 | 174 | Lincoln | 574 | 22 | |
| Knightsen | 8 | 580 | 55 | 578 | Linden | 22 | 472 | |
| | | | | | | 1 | | |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Live Oak | 127 | 185 | 2,152 | 109 |
| Livermore | 553 | 25 | 7,909 | 12 |
| Livingston | 9 | 568 | 1,053 | 224 |
| Lockeford | 20 | 484 | 145 | 481 |
| Lodi | 89 | 253 | 1,671 | 142 |
| Loma Linda | 59 | 318 | 606 | 306 |
| Loma Rica | 4 | 642 | 15 | 666 |
| Lomita | 26 | 447 | 97 | 522 |
| Lompoc | 40 | 381 | 227 | 432 |
| Long Beach | 563 | 23 | 2,952 | 82 |
| Loomis | 211 | 117 | 1,744 | 138 |
| Los Alamitos | 110 | 211 | 925 | 251 |
| Los Alamos | 10 | 558 | 56 | 577 |
| Los Altos | 474 | 37 | 3,273 | 71 |
| Los Altos Hills | 303 | 81 | 1,830 | 133 |
| Los Angeles | 4,018 | 2 | 36,174 | 2 |
| Los Banos | 31 | 426 | 2,107 | 113 |
| Los Gatos | 522 | 30 | 2,739 | 86 |
| Los Molinos | 12 | 542 | 68 | 561 |
| Los Olivos | 16 | 508 | 73 | 550 |
| Los Osos | 73 | 285 | 266 | 413 |
| Lost Hills | 2 | 674 | 12 | 677 |
| Lower Lake | 25 | 449 | 80 | 541 |
| Lucerne | 6 | 606 | 27 | 629 |
| Lucerne Valley | 20 | 484 | 79 | 544 |
| Lynwood | 1 | 693 | 2 | 719 |
| Madera | 351 | 64 | 3,538 | 61 |
| Magalia | 5 | 619 | 23 | 640 |
| Malibu | 158 | 155 | 1,129 | 206 |
| Mammoth Lakes | 9 | 568 | 27 | 628 |
| Manhattan Beach | 166 | 149 | 736 | 282 |
| Manteca | 277 | 92 | 2,506 | 99 |
| Maricopa | 1 | 693 | 5 | 709 |
| Marina | 18 | 499 | 1,411 | 165 |
| Marina Del Rey | 1 | 693 | 495 | 331 |
| Mariposa | 59 | 318 | 221 | 438 |
| Martinez | 147 | 163 | 927 | 250 |
| Marysville | 108 | 215 | 538 | 318 |
| Mather | 8 | 580 | 30 | 622 |
| Maxwell | 6 | 606 | 1,022 | 233 |
| Mcfarland | 8 | 580 | 898 | 255 |
| McKinleyville | 45 | 364 | 132 | 488 |
| Meadow Vista | 55 | 326 | 337 | 381 |
| Mendota | 3 | 656 | 102 | 517 |
| Menifee | 94 | 245 | 455 | 341 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Menlo Park | 344 | 66 | 1,530 | 157 |
| Mentone | 13 | 532 | 41 | 601 |
| Merced | 132 | 180 | 2,167 | 108 |
| Mesa Verde | 1 | 693 | 11 | 682 |
| Middletown | 41 | 378 | 1,168 | 201 |
| Midpines | 5 | 619 | 22 | 643 |
| Midway City | 4 | 642 | 10 | 684 |
| Mill Valley | 337 | 68 | 1,549 | 152 |
| Millbrae | 45 | 364 | 179 | 456 |
| Milpitas | 99 | 235 | 3,830 | 56 |
| Mira Loma | 35 | 402 | 596 | 308 |
| Mira Monte | 2 | 674 | 9 | 692 |
| Mission Viejo | 280 | 89 | 1,252 | 184 |
| Modesto | 102 | 228 | 4,416 | 44 |
| Mojave | 6 | 606 | 26 | 633 |
| Monrovia | 60 | 315 | 490 | 332 |
| Montara | 21 | 475 | 114 | 504 |
| Montclair | 20 | 484 | 599 | 307 |
| Monte Rio | 8 | 580 | 28 | 626 |
| Monte Sereno | 28 | 439 | 174 | 458 |
| Montebello | 14 | 525 | 384 | 367 |
| Montecito | 8 | 580 | 26 | 632 |
| Monterey | 111 | 209 | 565 | 311 |
| Monterey Park | 34 | 406 | 650 | 295 |
| Moorpark | 91 | 251 | 715 | 287 |
| Morada | 1 | 693 | 16 | 662 |
| Moraga | 99 | 235 | 470 | 339 |
| Moreno Valley | 168 | 148 | 1,972 | 122 |
| Morgan Hill | 372 | 61 | 4,217 | 49 |
| Morongo Valley | 19 | 489 | 89 | 532 |
| Morro Bay | 53 | 330 | 180 | 455 |
| Moss Beach | 19 | 489 | 68 | 559 |
| Mount Hermon | 1 | 693 | 4 | 710 |
| Mountain House | 6 | 606 | 33 | 615 |
| Mountain Ranch | 31 | 426 | 109 | 508 |
| Mountain View | 397 | 54 | 4,864 | 37 |
| Murphys | 48 | 351 | 313 | 394 |
| Murrieta | 393 | 56 | 3,568 | 60 |
| Napa | 511 | 32 | 6,846 | 14 |
| National City | 18 | 499 | 65 | 564 |
| Nevada City | 303 | 81 | 1,117 | 210 |
| Newark | 48 | 351 | 314 | 392 |
| Newcastle | 125 | 189 | 933 | 247 |
| Newman | 12 | 542 | 2,093 | 114 |
| Newport Beach | 184 | 133 | 1,895 | 130 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Nice | 4 | 642 | 11 | 683 |
| Nipomo | 122 | 192 | 455 | 342 |
| Norco | 85 | 263 | 415 | 352 |
| North Highlands | 49 | 347 | 263 | 415 |
| North Tustin | 2 | 674 | 11 | 680 |
| Norwalk | 25 | 449 | 74 | 549 |
| Novato | 343 | 67 | 1,755 | 136 |
| Nuevo | 24 | 460 | 128 | 492 |
| Oak Hills | 12 | 542 | 59 | 573 |
| Oak Park | 25 | 449 | 103 | 513 |
| Oak View | 38 | 391 | 171 | 461 |
| Oakdale | 201 | 123 | 3,066 | 77 |
| Oakhurst | 33 | 410 | 167 | 462 |
| Oakland | 1,010 | 11 | 9,860 | 9 |
| Oakley | 62 | 311 | 298 | 400 |
| Occidental | 46 | 358 | 242 | 425 |
| Oceano | 5 | 619 | 11 | 679 |
| Oceanside | 278 | 91 | 2,034 | 120 |
| Ojai | 298 | 85 | 1,457 | 163 |
| Olivehurst | 12 | 542 | 45 | 595 |
| Ontario | 83 | 268 | 5,107 | 33 |
| Orange | 317 | 75 | 2,040 | 119 |
| Orange Cove | 3 | 656 | 21 | 650 |
| Orangevale | 180 | 137 | 483 | 334 |
| Orcutt | 3 | 656 | 6 | 705 |
| Orinda | 208 | 120 | 991 | 240 |
| Orland | 60 | 315 | 354 | 375 |
| Orosi | 8 | 580 | 45 | 593 |
| Oroville | 197 | 126 | 4,864 | 38 |
| Oxnard | 85 | 263 | 2,074 | 116 |
| Pacheco | 5 | 619 | 88 | 535 |
| Pacific Grove | 28 | 439 | 84 | 536 |
| Pacifica | 117 | 200 | 991 | 239 |
| Pajaro | 5 | 619 | 29 | 624 |
| Palermo | 1 | 693 | 5 | 707 |
| Palm Desert | 478 | 35 | 3,957 | 51 |
| Palm Springs | 414 | 50 | 3,633 | 57 |
| Palmdale | 154 | 159 | 6,392 | 16 |
| Palo Alto | 450 | 40 | 3,050 | 78 |
| Palo Cedro | 50 | 343 | 342 | 378 |
| Palos Verdes Estates | 30 | 432 | 156 | 474 |
| Paradise | 145 | 164 | 1,222 | 190 |
| Paramount | 4 | 642 | 382 | 368 |
| Parlier | 5 | 619 | 1,003 | 236 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Pasadena | 345 | 65 | 3,210 | 73 |
| Patterson | 11 | 551 | 407 | 358 |
| Pauma Valley | 15 | 516 | 321 | 389 |
| Penn Valley | 129 | 181 | 569 | 310 |
| Penngrove | 64 | 307 | 328 | 386 |
| Perris | 98 | 238 | 915 | 253 |
| Petaluma | 433 | 45 | 5,595 | 25 |
| Phelan | 32 | 418 | 166 | 463 |
| Pico Rivera | 12 | 542 | 1,517 | 159 |
| Piedmont | 109 | 213 | 457 | 340 |
| Pine Grove | 27 | 444 | 141 | 485 |
| Pine Mountain Club | 1 | 693 | 7 | 698 |
| Pine Valley | 6 | 606 | 22 | 642 |
| Pinole | 43 | 372 | 162 | 466 |
| Pioneer | 13 | 532 | 62 | 568 |
| Piru | 1 | 693 | 3 | 713 |
| Pismo Beach | 30 | 432 | 104 | 511 |
| Pittsburg | 56 | 321 | 2,822 | 85 |
| Pixley | 1 | 693 | 3 | 715 |
| Placentia | 127 | 185 | 546 | 314 |
| Placerville | 227 | 114 | 1,437 | 164 |
| Planada | 2 | 674 | 5 | 708 |
| Pleasant Hill | 128 | 182 | 1,305 | 177 |
| Pleasanton | 530 | 29 | 6,109 | 19 |
| Plumas Lake | 40 | 381 | 113 | 505 |
| Plymouth | 46 | 358 | 347 | 377 |
| Pollock Pines | 9 | 568 | 32 | 616 |
| Pomona | 69 | 294 | 1,550 | 151 |
| Port Hueneme | 5 | 619 | 95 | 526 |
| Porterville | 92 | 249 | 1,120 | 209 |
| Portola Valley | 216 | 116 | 1,514 | 160 |
| Poway | 544 | 26 | 4,698 | 41 |
| Prunedale | 9 | 568 | 34 | 613 |
| Quartz Hill | 13 | 532 | 224 | 436 |
| Quincy | 16 | 508 | 95 | 525 |
| Rainbow | 2 | 674 | 9 | 686 |
| Ramona | 391 | 57 | 1,983 | 121 |
| Rancho Cordova | 492 | 34 | 6,413 | 15 |
| Rancho Cucamonga | 321 | 72 | 3,419 | 66 |
| Rancho Mirage | 296 | 86 | 2,939 | 83 |
| Rancho Murieta | 7 | 594 | 33 | 614 |
| Rancho Palos Verdes | 178 | 139 | 804 | 272 |
| Rancho Santa Fe | 102 | 228 | 1 1 2 4 | 207 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Rancho Santa Margarita | 87 | 260 | 614 | 301 |
| Red Bluff | 87 | 260 | 867 | 260 |
| Redding | 172 | 146 | 2,225 | 106 |
| Redlands | 276 | 93 | 2,726 | 87 |
| Redondo Beach | 112 | 206 | 441 | 344 |
| Redway | 8 | 580 | 24 | 636 |
| Redwood City | 352 | 63 | 2,383 | 103 |
| Redwood Valley | 37 | 393 | 158 | 471 |
| Reedley | 108 | 215 | 496 | 330 |
| Rialto | 49 | 347 | 1,550 | 150 |
| Richgrove | 1 | 693 | 7 | 699 |
| Richmond | 208 | 120 | 5,439 | 30 |
| Ridgecrest | 137 | 173 | 1,190 | 197 |
| Rio Dell | 1 | 693 | 2 | 718 |
| Rio Linda | 33 | 410 | 103 | 515 |
| Rio Vista | 56 | 321 | 146 | 480 |
| Ripon | 32 | 418 | 1,197 | 195 |
| Riverbank | 21 | 475 | 272 | 412 |
| Riverdale | 9 | 568 | 614 | 302 |
| Riverside | 262 | 99 | 3,382 | 69 |
| Rocklin | 621 | 18 | 3,632 | 58 |
| Rodeo | 7 | 594 | 21 | 652 |
| Rohnert Park | 121 | 195 | 1,073 | 219 |
| Rolling Hills | 34 | 406 | 297 | 401 |
| Rolling Hills Estates | 25 | 449 | 122 | 499 |
| Romoland | 95 | 243 | 250 | 422 |
| Rosamond | 29 | 435 | 103 | 516 |
| Rosemead | 19 | 489 | 89 | 533 |
| Roseville | 1,170 | 8 | 2,889 | 84 |
| Ross | 31 | 426 | 273 | 411 |
| Rossmoor | 10 | 558 | 54 | 580 |
| Rowland Heights | 35 | 402 | 152 | 477 |
| Rubidoux | 2 | 674 | 12 | 675 |
| Running Springs | 3 | 656 | 9 | 690 |
| Sacramento | 1,119 | 10 | 15,911 | 7 |
| Salida | 6 | 606 | 48 | 590 |
| Salinas | 164 | 150 | 1,092 | 213 |
| San Andreas | 20 | 484 | 124 | 495 |
| San Anselmo | 160 | 154 | 1,039 | 228 |
| San Bernardino | 154 | 159 | 5,581 | 27 |
| San Bruno | 33 | 410 | 92 | 530 |
| San Buenaventura (Ventura) | 255 | 103 | 1,816 | 134 |
| San Carlos | 210 | 118 | 916 | 252 |
| San Clemente | 142 | 171 | 1,463 | 162 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | mber of Rank by Solar PV Total allations Installations | | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|------------------------|--|--|---------|---------------------------------|
| San Diego | 4,507 | 1 | 36,775 | 1 |
| San Dimas | 63 | 309 | 1,067 | 220 |
| San Fernando | 10 | 558 | 52 | 583 |
| San Francisco | 2,405 | 4 | 16,731 | 5 |
| San Gabriel | 46 | 358 | 158 | 472 |
| San Jacinto | 63 | 309 | 828 | 268 |
| San Joaquin | 4 | 642 | 12 | 676 |
| San Jose | 2,733 | 3 | 30,617 | 3 |
| San Juan Bautista | 15 | 516 | 128 | 491 |
| San Juan Capistrano | 86 | 262 | 428 | 347 |
| San Leandro | 127 | 185 | 1,905 | 128 |
| San Lorenzo | 39 | 385 | 556 | 312 |
| San Luis Obispo | 358 | 62 | 2,639 | 92 |
| San Marcos | 410 | 51 | 2,569 | 97 |
| San Marino | 48 | 351 | 275 | 409 |
| San Martin | 52 | 335 | 370 | 371 |
| San Mateo | 240 | 109 | 1,540 | 153 |
| San Miguel | 44 | 368 | 1,249 | 185 |
| San Pablo | 13 | 532 | 400 | 360 |
| San Rafael | 415 | 49 | 3,398 | 68 |
| San Ramon | 610 | 20 | 2,571 | 96 |
| Sanger | 138 | 172 | 812 | 271 |
| Santa Ana | 300 | 84 | 3,26/ | /2 |
| Santa Barbara | /18 | 15 | 4,699 | 40 |
| Santa Clara | 241 | 107 | 5,708 | 24 |
| Santa Cianta | 269 | 12 | 5,506 | 29 |
| Santa Cruz | 000 | 12 | 4,545 | 45 |
| Santa Pe springs | 10 | 400 | 520 | 525 |
| Santa Maria | 10 | 210 | 2 1 2 2 | 111 |
| Santa Monica | 290 | 88 | 2,123 | 88 |
| Santa Paula | 33 | 410 | 1,205 | 193 |
| Santa Rosa | 1,467 | 7 | 14.015 | 8 |
| Santa Rosa Valley | 7 | 594 | 48 | 591 |
| Santa Ynez | 82 | 269 | 583 | 309 |
| Santee | 158 | 155 | 2,150 | 110 |
| Saratoga | 408 | 52 | 2,364 | 104 |
| Sausalito | 70 | 291 | 339 | 380 |
| Scotts Valley | 106 | 219 | 550 | 313 |
| Sea Ranch | 21 | 475 | 59 | 574 |
| Seal Beach | 88 | 255 | 539 | 317 |
| Seaside | 24 | 460 | 1,055 | 223 |
| Sebastopol | 759 | 14 | 4,942 | 36 |
| Selma | 56 | 321 | 328 | 385 |

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity | | City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Shafter | 33 | 410 | 496 | 329 | | Thousand Oaks | 461 | 39 | 2,971 | 81 |
| Shandon | 3 | 656 | 21 | 651 | | Three Rivers | 37 | 393 | 159 | 469 |
| Shasta | 5 | 619 | 25 | 634 | 1 | Tiburon | 145 | 164 | 797 | 274 |
| Sheridan | 5 | 619 | 39 | 603 | 1 | Tipton | 2 | 674 | 7 | 695 |
| Shingle Springs | 182 | 135 | 1,123 | 208 | 1 | Topanga | 94 | 245 | 530 | 321 |
| Shingletown | 22 | 472 | 80 | 539 | | Torrance | 127 | 185 | 1,970 | 123 |
| Sierra Madre | 48 | 351 | 199 | 446 | | Тгасу | 251 | 104 | 1,948 | 125 |
| Signal Hill | 6 | 606 | 19 | 655 | | Truckee | 42 | 374 | 240 | 428 |
| Simi Valley | 322 | 71 | 3,102 | 75 | | Tulare | 128 | 182 | 1,189 | 198 |
| Solana Beach | 89 | 253 | 385 | 366 | | Tuolumne City | 21 | 475 | 96 | 524 |
| Soledad | 101 | 230 | 1,137 | 205 | | Turlock | 51 | 340 | 290 | 403 |
| Solvang | 44 | 368 | 211 | 443 | | Tustin | 96 | 242 | 1,110 | 212 |
| Sonoma | 478 | 35 | 5,398 | 31 | | Twain Harte | 10 | 558 | 71 | 555 |
| Sonora | 136 | 176 | 815 | 270 | | Twentynine Palms | 57 | 320 | 258 | 418 |
| Soquel | 152 | 161 | 1,207 | 192 | | Ukiah | 93 | 247 | 1,296 | 180 |
| Soulsbyville | 4 | 642 | 15 | 667 | 1 | Union City | 49 | 347 | 982 | 243 |
| South El Monte | 4 | 642 | 32 | 617 | 1 | Upland | 157 | 157 | 1,537 | 155 |
| South Gate | 10 | 558 | 956 | 245 | | Upper Lake | 14 | 525 | 52 | 584 |
| South Lake Tahoe | 1 | 693 | 20 | 653 | | Vacaville | 428 | 47 | 6,162 | 17 |
| South Pasadena | 79 | 272 | 413 | 354 | | Valinda | 1 | 693 | 9 | 691 |
| South San Francisco | 49 | 347 | 381 | 369 | | Vallejo | 133 | 178 | 2,697 | 89 |
| Spring Valley | 124 | 190 | 476 | 336 | | Valley Center | 210 | 118 | 3,160 | 74 |
| Squaw Valley | 121 | 489 | 77 | 545 | | Valley Springs | 88 | 255 | 506 | 326 |
| St. Helena | 144 | 166 | 3 852 | 55 | | Vandenberg Village | 1 | 693 | 2 | 720 |
| Stanford | 54 | 327 | 301 | 399 | | Victorville | 109 | 213 | 2.423 | 101 |
| Stanton | 6 | 606 | 36 | 607 | | Villa Park | 67 | 300 | 388 | 363 |
| Stevenson Ranch | 53 | 330 | 286 | 405 | | Visalia | 442 | 42 | 5.965 | 21 |
| Stockton | 382 | 59 | 4,996 | 35 | | Vista | 321 | 72 | 1.901 | 129 |
| Stratford | 2 | 674 | 11 | 681 | | Walnut | 84 | 266 | 388 | 364 |
| Strathmore | 12 | 542 | 80 | 538 | | Walnut Creek | 404 | 53 | 2,587 | 94 |
| Suisun City | 39 | 385 | 1,217 | 191 | | Walnut Grove | 10 | 558 | 72 | 553 |
| Summerland | 3 | 656 | 13 | 672 | | Wasco | 19 | 489 | 1,382 | 166 |
| Sun City | 68 | 297 | 275 | 408 | | Waterford | 9 | 568 | 705 | 290 |
| Sunnyvale | 515 | 31 | 6,099 | 20 | | Watsonville | 557 | 24 | 1,802 | 135 |
| Sutter | 16 | 508 | 122 | 498 | | Weldon | 13 | 532 | 48 | 588 |
| Sutter Creek | 35 | 402 | 253 | 420 | | West Covina | 67 | 300 | 318 | 390 |
| Taft | 23 | 465 | 704 | 291 | | West Hollywood | 17 | 506 | 57 | 575 |
| Tehachapi | 52 | 335 | 212 | 442 | | West Sacramento | 112 | 206 | 3,517 | 62 |
| Temecula | 473 | 38 | 4,857 | 39 | | Westlake Village | 104 | 222 | 1,310 | 176 |
| Temple City | 33 | 410 | 124 | 494 | | Westminster | 88 | 255 | 987 | 241 |
| Templeton | 118 | 198 | 636 | 297 | | Westwood | 2 | 674 | 6 | 701 |
| Terra Bella | 11 | 551 | 330 | 383 | | Wheatland | 37 | 393 | 206 | 445 |
| Thornton | 1 | 693 | 500 | 328 | | Whittier | 133 | 178 | 743 | 280 |

Appendix I: Alphabetical Listing of All Cities

| City | Number of Solar PV Installations | Rank by Total Installations | Total Solar PV Capacity (kW) | Rank by Total PV Capacity |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Wildomar | 77 | 276 | 396 | 361 |
| Williams | 9 | 568 | 1,076 | 217 |
| Willits | 79 | 272 | 307 | 397 |
| Willow Creek | 6 | 606 | 40 | 602 |
| Willows | 32 | 418 | 251 | 421 |
| Wilton | 50 | 343 | 1,532 | 156 |
| Winchester | 54 | 327 | 1,200 | 194 |
| Windsor | 181 | 136 | 1,352 | 168 |
| Winters | 88 | 255 | 838 | 266 |
| Winton | 25 | 449 | 214 | 441 |
| Wofford Heights | 9 | 568 | 50 | 586 |
| Woodacre | 21 | 475 | 70 | 558 |
| Woodbridge | 5 | 619 | 32 | 618 |
| Woodlake | 32 | 418 | 173 | 459 |
| Woodland | 296 | 86 | 5,537 | 28 |
| Woodside | 144 | 166 | 1,228 | 189 |
| Wrightwood | 5 | 619 | 21 | 647 |
| Yorba Linda | 246 | 106 | 1,699 | 140 |
| Yountville | 14 | 525 | 418 | 349 |
| Yuba City | 231 | 112 | 3,417 | 67 |
| Yucaipa | 177 | 140 | 994 | 238 |
| Yucca Valley | 52 | 335 | 254 | 419 |

Notes

1. Travis Madsen, Frontier Group, and Michelle Kinman and Bernadette Del Chiaro, Environment California Research & Policy Center, Building a Brighter Future: California's Progress Toward a Million Solar Roofs, November 2011.

2. Solar Energy Industries Association and Vote Solar, U.S. Solar Industry Achieved Record Cost Reductions in 2010 According to DOE Report; Solar Advocates: National Lab Study is Latest Indicator that Solar is Ready to Repower America (press release), 15 September 2011.

3. Todd Lieberg and James Lee, California Energy Commission, Grid-Connected PV Capacity (kW) Installed in California (excel file), downloaded from www.energy.ca.gov/renewables/emerging_ renewables/GRID_CONNECTED_PV_12-31-07.XLS on 22 December 2011.

4. California Energy Commission & California Public Utilities Commission, California Solar Statistics: California Leads the Nation, updated 21 December 2011.

5. See note 1.

6. California Energy Commission & California Public Utilities Commission, CSI Budget Report, downloaded from www. californiasolarstatistics.ca.gov/reports/ budget_forecast/ on 28 September 2011.

7. BP, Statistical Review of World Energy, June 2011.

8. Evaluated using an assumed value of electricity of 12.5 cents per kWh and the NREL PV Watts Calculator, per National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *PVWatts Viewer* 2.0, accessed at mapserve3.nrel.gov/ PVWatts_Viewer/index.html, 20 October 2011.

9. In addition to investor-owned utility activity in San Francisco covered in the California Solar Initiative database, the city itself has installed 7.2 MW of solar in a total of 9 installations. Charles Sheehan, Publicly Owned Utility of San Francisco City and County, Personal Correspondence, September 2011.

10. Australia had 500 MW (direct current) of solar energy capacity in 2011. See note 7.

11. 1.3 and 1.9 MW: provided by SMUD, see Methodology; Built by the Sacramento Municipal Utility District: Erik Nelson, "Only One Ray of Hope Glimmers for Solar Energy Industry," *The Miami Herald*, 30 November 1986.

12. See note 3.

13. See note 4.

14. See note 1.

15. Ibid.

16. This data was derived by subtracting solar installation totals developed for this report from totals developed for the 2009 version of this report (Bernadette Del Chiaro, Environment California Research & Policy Center, *California's Solar Cities: Leading the Way to a Clean Energy Future*, July 2009), which covered solar installations approximately through January 2009.

17. Presents actual data through 2011, including installations from the California Solar Initiative, per California Energy Commission & California Public Utilities Commission, California Solar Initiative Working Data Set, 7 September 2011, available at www.californiasolarstatistics.ca.gov/ current_data_files; data from the New Solar Homes Partnership, per California Energy Commission & California Public Utilities Commission, Find a New Solar Homes Partnership Community, 23 September 2011, available at www.gosolarcalifornia. org/communities/nshp.php; and data from publicly-owned utility programs, per California Energy Commission, Publicly Owned Utilities

SB1 Solar Program Status Reports: Publicly Owned Utilities Life of Program and Yearly Statistics, 2009-2010, 23 June 2011, available at www. energy.ca.gov/sb1/pou_reports/. Potential data through 2016 represents a hypothetical scenario where California's solar market grows more than 30 percent in 2012, with the rate of growth steadily declining to 18 percent in 2016, illustrated to represent one possible trajectory for the solar market to reach the 3,000 MW goal by the end of 2016.

18. See note 16.

19. Based upon estimated solar electricity generation in Los Angeles per National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *PVWatts Viewer* 2.0, accessed at mapserve3.nrel.gov/PVWatts_ Viewer/index.html, 20 October 2011, and the non-baseload emission rate for NOx pollution in California from 2007, per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *eGRID2010 Version 1.1*, 20 May 2011.

20. Based upon estimated solar electricity generation in Los Angeles per National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *PVWatts Viewer* 2.0, accessed at mapserve3.nrel.gov/PVWatts_ Viewer/index.html, 20 October 2011, and the non-baseload emission rate for carbon dioxide pollution in California from 2007, per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *eGRID2010 Version 1.1*, 20 May 2011.

21. An average California car emits six tons of carbon dioxide per year, according to California Air Resources Board figures. 1 kilowatt of solar power capacity can prevent 0.9 tons of carbon dioxide emissions per year. Divide the second number by the first, and you get 1 kW of solar preventing emissions equivalent to the output of 0.15 cars. Multiply by three for a typical residential solar system of 3 kilowatts and you get 0.5 cars per year. Therefore, two solar homes prevent the annual carbon dioxide emissions of one car.

22. The Solar Foundation, National Solar Jobs Census 2011: A Review of the U.S. Solar Workforce, October 2011.

23. Mary D. Nichols, "Climate Policies

Drive California's Economic Future," Sustainable Industries, 31 May 2010.

24. See note 22.

25. Alexander Quinn, Christine Safriet, and Christopher Clement, AECOM, Economic and Fiscal Impact Analysis of Residential Solar Permitting Reform, July 2011.

26. J. Paidipati et al, Navigant Consulting, Inc. for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *Rooftop Photovoltaics Market Penetration Scenarios*, Subcontract Report NREL/SR-581-42306, February 2008. This figure doesn't even begin to account for utilityscale solar power systems. A giant solar farm on a hundred square mile patch of the desert could technically generate as much electricity as the entire nation uses in a year: Bernadette del Chiaro, Tony Dutzik and Sarah Payne, Environment America Research & Policy Center, On the Rise: Solar Thermal Power and the Fight Against Global Warming, Spring 2008.

27. Assuming an average 1kW system generates more than 1,100 kWh of electricity per year. California uses about 270 million MWh of electricity per year, per U.S. Energy Information Administration, *State Electricity Profiles: California*, DOE/EIA-0348(01)/2, April 2011.

28. See note 7.

29. Paul Gipe, "Germany Aims for 35-40% Renewables by 2020," *Solar Today*, 25 July 2011.

30. Ibid.

31. See note 7; Note: Market size is based on all forms of solar, both from rooftops and utilities.

32. Existing solar from California Energy Commission & California Public Utilities Commission, *California Solar Statistics: California Leads the Nation*, updated 20 October 2011, available at www.gosolarcalifornia.com; Remaining technical potential per note 26.

33. See note 7.

34. The White House, *Executive Order* 13514, 5 October 2009.

35. J.R. DeShazo and Ryan Matulka, UCLA Luskin Center for Innovation and Los Angeles Business Council, *Designing an Effective Feed-in Tariff for Greater Los Angeles*, April 2010.

36. Eric Wolff, "Energy: Solar Customers Furious with Utility over Proposed Rate Change," North County Times, 8 October 2011; California Center for Sustainable Energy, SDG&E Proposes New Rates for Solar Customers, downloaded from energycenter.org/index. php/component/content/article/2902-xxxdraft-sdgae-proposes-new-rates-for-solar-customers on 22 December 2011.

37. California Public Utilities Commission, *Decision 11-07-031*, July 2011.

38. See note 25.

39. California Energy Commission & California Public Utilities Commission, *California Solar Initiative Working Data Set*, 7 September 2011, available at www.californiasolarstatistics. ca.gov/current_data_files.